THE CALIFORNIA CHESS REPORTER

XII 7, 1963

RETURN TO SAN LUIS!



FROM: 244 Kearny St. San Francisco 8
Bayld Lemon

David Lamson 111 Montagus St.

THE CALIFORNIA CHESS REPORTER

Vol. XII, No. 7 \$2 per year May, 1963 THE CALIFORNIA CHESS REPORTER, 244 Kearny Street, San Francisco 8 Monthly except January, March, August, and October Official Organ of the California State Chess Federation Editor: Guthrie McClain Associate Editors: Gordon S. Barrett, Los Angeles; Dr. Mark W. Eudey, Berkeley; Neil T. Austin, Sacramento; Irving Rivise, Los Angeles Games Editor: Robert E. Burger Guest Annotator: Intl. Master Imre Konig Second-class postage paid at San Francisco, California CONTENTS The Piatigorsky Cup 105 Game of the Month . . . 113-115 Communications 115 Hamilton AFB, Grover Wins. 106-107 Hamilton AFB, Photos 119 Games..... 116-118 Calif. Collegiate, Matzner Wins. 108 So. Calif. League Matches ... 109-112 THE PIATIGORSKY CUP - JULY 2-28, 1963

THE PIATIGORSKY CUP - JULY 2-28, 1963
PETROSIAN - KERES - KORCHNOI - RESHEVSKY GLIGORIC - NAJDORF - PANNO - OLAFSSON - BENKO

Mrs. Gregor Piatigorsky, California's First Lady of Chess, presents one of the most important chess tournaments ever held in the United States at the Ambassador Hotel in Los Angeles during July. The tournament is being sponsored by the Piatigorsky Foundation and will be directed by Grandmaster Isaac Kashdan.

Rounds will be played during week days at 6:30 P.M. (Rounds 1,4,8 and 12 on Mondays, 2,5,9 and 13 on Wednesdays, and 6 and 10 on Thursdays), and on Sundays at 1:00 P.M. (Rounds 3,7,11 and 14). Adjourned games will be played at 1:00 on Tuesdays, Fridays, Thursday (July 25), and Saturday (July 27). (Except for July 27, all Saturdays are free days for the players.)

Following the tournament, all the players have expressed interest in participating in or viewing the U. S. Open in Chicago August 11 to 24 and in playing exhibitions in San Francisco, Chicago, Boston, and New York during August. (Now is the time to nail down simultaneous exhibitions or lectures while these great masters are in the U. S. How about a lecture by Petrosian on the subject "How I beat Botvinnik!") ... In the meantime, negotiations are pending for a U.S. vs. U.S.S.R. team match in New York in June.

KENNETH GROVER WINS HAMILTON AIR FORCE BASE OPEN

Kenneth Grover came down from Washington for the third annual Hamilton A.F.B. Open and took home the \$150 first prize on April 26-28. Grover, who is a noted checker champion, drew in the second round with Rip McClary but won his other four games. His two crucial games were in the fifth round, when he won a pawn from Henry Gross and held on to it, and the sixth round, when he capitalized on John Blackstone's daredevil play.

Henry Gross, Rex Wilcox, Roy Hoppe, and Ricardo Lopez tied for second through fifth places and each won \$37.50. Gross, Wilcox, and Hoppe were tied for the lead after four rounds with 4-0 scores. While Gross was losing to Grover, Wilcox beat Hoppe. As the last round started, Wilcox was top man with 5-0. He was paired against Gross (in order to make the colors come out even) and went down to defeat.

The tournament was a six-round Swiss played at the Hamilton Service Club. The tournament director and organizer was Charles R. Savery, who was assisted by Roy Hoppe and Michael Goodall. The prize list was \$375. In addition to the first five prizes, there were awarded: Best Military, 1st Lt. Edward Silverbush \$15 and book; Best "A", Sidney Rubin \$20 and book; Best "B", Robert Henderson \$7.50 and book; Best "C", Lt. (USN) Grey S. Wren \$10 and book; Travel Prize, Dr. J. K. Walters (London) book; and Sportsmanship, 11 year-old James Haberly.

3rd ANNUAL HAMILTON AIR FORCE BASE OPEN, April 26-28, 1963

			_1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Tie-Br.
1.	K.	Grover	W14	D32	W29	W43	W2	W 8	$5\frac{1}{2} - 1\frac{1}{2}$	
2.	Η.	Gross	W31	W43	W5	W10	L1	W3	5 - 1	24
3.	R.	Wilcox	W51	W21	W9	W11	W4	L2	5 - 1	$22\frac{1}{2}$
4.	R.	Hoppe	W34	W12	W20	W23	L3	W19	5 - 1	$21\frac{1}{2}$
5.	R.	Lopez	W45	W24	L2	W28	W23	W9	5 - 1	$19\frac{1}{2}$
6.	Ε.	Silverbush	W15	D10	L23	W33	W25	W12	$4\frac{1}{2} - 1\frac{1}{2}$	$20\frac{1}{2}$
7.	S.	Rubin	W24	D35	W50	W36	W26	W23	$4\frac{1}{2}-1\frac{1}{2}$	15
8.	J.	Blackstone	W26	W16	L11	W14	W13	L1	4 ~ 2	$24\frac{1}{2}$
9.	J.	Mortz	W36	W44	L3	W16	W11	L5	4 ~ 2	$22\frac{1}{2}$
10.	L.	Allen	W47	D6	W32	L2	D19	W28	4 - 2	20
11.	G.	Kane	W49	W25	W 8	L3	L9	W30	4 - 2	20
12.	н.	Byrne	W46	L4	W45	W25	W20	L6	4 - 2	20
13.	Ρ.	Leuthold	W 38	D29	W30	W15	L8	D 20	4 - 2	19
14.	R.	Henderson	Li	W52	W21	L8	W43	W31	4 - 2	$18\frac{1}{2}$
15.	Dr.	J. Walters	L6	W47	W44	L13	W 38	W32	4 - 2	18

Han	nilton AFB Open	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Tie-Br.
16.	F. Harris	W39	L8	W46	L9	W35	W36	4 - 2	$17\frac{1}{2}$
17.	M. Morris	L19	D33	W48	W50*	W31	D22	4 - 2	15
18.	G. S. Wren	D30	W45	D28	L19	W29	W44	4 - 2	15
19.	F. Thornally	W17	L23	W37	L18	D10	L4	$3\frac{1}{2} - 2\frac{1}{2}$	$22\frac{1}{2}$
20.	K. Zangerle	W41	W50	L4	W24	L12	D13	$3\frac{1}{2}$ $-2\frac{1}{2}$	$19\frac{1}{2}$
21.	R. Goudswaard	W48	L3	L14	W47	$\mathbf{D}30$	W43	$3\frac{1}{2} - 2\frac{1}{2}$	$17\frac{1}{2}$
22.	R. Irwin	D28	L27	W54	D30	W44	D17	$3\frac{1}{2}$ - $2\frac{1}{2}$	14
23.	W. Sanders	W35	W19	W6	L4	L5	L7	3 · 3	25
24.	M. Jaeger	W7	L_5	W44	L20	D28	D42	3 - 3	20
25.	J. Scheuerman	W37	L11	W34	L12	L6	W47	3 - 3	$19\frac{1}{2}$
26.	L. O'Doan	L8	D39	W49	W27	L7	D37	3 - 3	18
27.	P. Mongan	L44	W22	D31	L26	W33	D29	3 - 3	16
28.	M. Mattingley	D22	W41	D18	L5	D 24	L10	$2\frac{1}{2} - 3\frac{1}{2}$	22
29.	R. Vorpagel	W42	D13	L1	D34	L18	D27	$2\frac{1}{2} - 3\frac{1}{2}$	$21\frac{1}{2}$
30.	W. Rebold	D18	W49	L13	D22	D21	L11	$2\frac{1}{2}$ - $3\frac{1}{2}$	$20\frac{1}{2}$
31.	F. Cardenas	L2	W54	D27	W32	L17	L14	$2\frac{1}{2}$ - $3\frac{1}{2}$	$18\frac{1}{2}$
32.	Rip McClary	W54	D1	L32	L31	W40	L15	$2\frac{1}{2} - 3\frac{1}{2}$	$18\frac{1}{2}$
33.	W. Sprague	D40	$\mathbf{D}1.7$	D36	L6	L27	W50	$2\frac{1}{2}$ - $3\frac{1}{2}$	$17\frac{1}{2}$
34.	T. Dimilo	L4	W48	L25	D29	D39	D41	$2\frac{1}{2}$ – $3\frac{1}{2}$	$17\frac{1}{2}$
35.	R. Black	L23	D7	D53	W46	L16	D38	$2\frac{1}{2}$ $3\frac{1}{2}$	$16\frac{1}{2}$
36,	J. Difulvio	L9	W53	D33	L7	W50	L16	$2\frac{1}{2}$ – $3\frac{1}{2}$	$16\frac{1}{2}$
37.	M. McLennan	L25	W42	L19	L40	W46	D26	$2\frac{1}{2}$ $-3\frac{1}{2}$	$16\frac{1}{2}$
38.	J. Brennan	L13	W51	L43	W39	L15	D35	$2\frac{1}{2}$ - $3\frac{1}{2}$	16
39.	W. Chong	L16	D26	W51	L38	D34	D49	$2\frac{1}{2}$ - $3\frac{1}{2}$	$15\frac{1}{2}$
40.	C. Singleton	D33	L46	L42	W37	L32	W52	$2\frac{1}{2} - 3\frac{1}{2}$	13
41.	R. Delisle	L20	L28	L15	W49	W51	D34	$2\frac{1}{2}$ – $3\frac{1}{2}$	15
42.	W. Caplan	L29	L37	W40	L44	W45	D24	$2\frac{1}{2}$ - $3\frac{1}{2}$	$14\frac{1}{2}$
43.	R. Creet	W53	L2	W38	Li	L :14	L21	2 - 4	21
44.	D. E. Thompson		$\mathbf{L}9$	L24	W42	L22	L18	2 - 4	20
45.	C. Velesarion	L5	Ll8	L12	W48	L42	W51	2 - 4	$18\frac{1}{2}$
46.	P. W. Gardner	L12	W40	L16	L35	£37	W54	2 - 4	$15\frac{1}{2}$
47.	L. Mancini	L1,0	Lι 5	W52	L21	W53*	L25	2 - 4	
48.	L. Trottier	L21	L34	L17	L4:5	<u>\$:5W</u>	<u>W53*</u>	2 - 4	
4.9.	P. Vayssie	L).1	L30	L26	L4.L	` X 54	D 39	$1\frac{1}{2} \cdot 4\frac{1}{2}$	
50.	M. Goodall	W52	L:20	L7	£1.7*	L36	L33) 5	18
5I	R. G. Jacob	LS	L38	L39	₩5 4	[64]	$\mathbf{L}45$	1 5	$14\frac{1}{2}$
52.	J. Bush	€50	L14	1.47	'W:53	ا 4 ا ^د	L40	1 - 5	12
53,	R. Owlard	.43	L36	D35	L52	[64,7*	L4.8*	$\frac{1}{2}$ -5:	
59.	1. Hapably	1483	5	L22	ياقيلا	فخيد	L43	(- 3	

MATZNER WINS CALIFORNIA COLLEGIATE CHAMPIONSHIP

Stephen Matzner of California Polytechnic, San Luis Obispo, dethroned Walt Cunningham of Los Angeles State College as 1963 college champion in a 5-round Swiss held at Cal Poly April 12-13. Matzner, 1961 champion, had a perfect 5-0 score.

John Blackstone, a freshman at San Jose State, took second and John Mortz of Stanford was third. Blackstone defeated Cunningham in the second round but ran into defeat at the hands of Matzner in the third round. Mortz lost only to Cunningham and had a draw with Cesar Marin. Cunningham, who after his second-round loss had to play even more aggressively than he usually does, tried valiantly in the last round to upset Matzner but went down to defeat after steady chess by the champion.

The tournament was directed by Mr. Andreini, faculty adviser, and was promoted by the Caissa Chess Club of Cal Poly.

5th ANNUAL CALIFORNIA COLLEGE CHAMPIONSHIP, April 12-13, 1963

			1	2	3	4	5	Score
1.	S. Matzner	Cal Poly	W 6	W7	W2	W5	W4	5
2.	J. Blackstone	San Jose State	W9	W4	L1	W 6	W5	4
3.	J. Mortz	Stanford	W12	D5	L4	W10	W8	$3\frac{1}{2}$
4.	W. Cunningham	L. A. State	W10	L2	W3	W 8	L1	3
5.	J.C. Marin	L. A. State	W 8	D3	W9	L1	L2	$2\frac{1}{2}$
6.	F. Alonso	L.A. State	L1	W11	W12	L2	D7	$2\frac{1}{2}$
7.	R. Laver	U. C. L. A.	W11	L1	L8	W 9	D6	$2\frac{1}{2}$
8.	J. Bulash	San Jose State	L5	W12	W7	L4	L3	3
9.	L. Young	L. A. State	L2	W10	L ₅	L7	W11	2
10.	S. Sloan	California	L4	L9	W11	L3	W12	2
11.	C. Morrin	Cal Poly	L7	L6	L10	W12	L9	1
12.	G. McMeen	U. S. C.	L3	L8	F'6	F	Jř	0

LOS ANGELES STATE SIXTH IN U.S. INTERCOLLEGIATE THAM MATCHES

The four-man team from Los Angeles State (three of whom participated in the tournament at San Luis Obispo) scored 4-2 in the team matches held at La Salle College in Philadelphia during the winter holidays. The winners were Brooklyn College, tied with Columbia University but scoring more points. L. A. State, the first western school to send a team to the event, tied for sixth. The school granted no less than \$2,600 to the team for expenses. Walt R. Cunningham, California Open Champion and 1962 California College Champion, captained the team and scored a remarkable 32-2 on Arrest board.

STEINER CLUB MASTERS WIN DIVISION A TEAM TITLE

After seven of the nine rounds, the strong Steiner Masters have clinched first place in Division A of the Southern California Chess League for 1963. Steiner overwhelmed defending champion Santa Monica in the seventh round 6-0 and thereby made it impossible for the nearest teams, Santa Monica and City Terrace, to catch them. Steiner has 32-4 with two matches to play; Santa Monica has 23-17 with two adjourned; City Terrace has 21-17 with four adjourned; and each team has only one match to play.

In Division B, Santa Monica and the Steiner Gallants are fighting for first place. Divisions C and D will be reported in our next issue.

DIVISION A
ROUND 4, Week of March 25, 1963

City Terrace	$2\frac{1}{2}$,	Steiner Mast	$rs3\frac{1}{2}$		L. A. State	$3\frac{1}{2}$,	Covina	$2\frac{1}{2}$
1. Weinbaum	0	Addison	1	1.	Cunningham	1	Powelson	0
2. Hanken	1	Weinberger	0	2.	Marin C.	$\frac{1}{2}$	Michaels	$\frac{1}{2}$
3. Yuer	0	Moskowitz	1	3.	Meriwadi	1	Ferguson	0
4. Kakimi	1	Rivise	0	4.	Marin Dr.	0	HerzogDr.	. 1
5. Parker	$\frac{1}{2}$	Lazos	$\frac{1}{2}$	5.	Zuzow	0	Dewing	1
6. Swett	0	Pilnick	1	6.	Amneus Dr.	1	HerzogMr	s 0
Santa Monica	4,	South Bay	2	Mo	nterey Park	3,	Van Nuys	3
1. Saidy	1	Jacobs	0	1.	Syversten	1	Barry	0
2. Lessing	1	Forfeit	0	2.	Brow Dr.	0	Myhro	1
3. Quillen	0	Van Deene	1	3.	Zizda	0	Lukaart	1
4. Abel	1	Forfeit	0	4.	Gish	1	Mercy	0
Bersbach	0	Bliss	1	5.	Rader	0	Smith	1
6. Warner	1	Gardner	0	6.	Frilling Fk.	1	Katzl	0

ROUND 5, Week of April 1, 1963

	Van Nuys $1\frac{1}{2}$,	City	Terrace	$4\frac{1}{2}$		South Bay $2\frac{1}{2}$,	Moi	nterey l	Park $3\frac{1}{2}$
1.	Barry	0	Hanken	1	1.	Fether	0	Fries	1
2.	Lukaart	0	Weinbaum	1	2.	Bliss	1	Zizda	0
3.	Myhro	0	Kakimi	1	3.	DeBeaubien	$\frac{1}{2}$	Gish	$\frac{1}{2}$
4.	Goldberg	0	Barrett	1	4.	Pugh	0	Sarley	1
5.	Smith	$\frac{1}{2}$	Parker	$\frac{1}{2}$	5.	Pincus	1	Rader	0
6.	Katzl	1	Swett	0	6.	Boscia	0	Rains	1

110		1112 011211	0111	0.	ILDD ILLI OI			
DIVISION	A, rema	inder of Rour	<u>d 5</u>					
Steiner Ma	sters 5		1		Pasadena 2	, Sa	nta Monica	$2\frac{1}{2}$
1. Addiso	$n \frac{1}{2}$	Cunningham	$\frac{1}{2}$	1.	Larsen	0	Quillen	1
2. Weinbe	rger 1	Marin C.	0	2.	Hultgren N.	1	Bersbach	0
3. Moskov	vitz ½	Amneus	$\frac{1}{2}$	3.	Lewis Dr.	1	Hurttlen	0
4. Rivise	1	Darias	0	4.	Hultgren G.	$\frac{1}{2}$	Laver	$\frac{1}{2}$
5. Lazos	1	Marin Dr.	0	5.	Carpenter		Schechter	
6. Pilnick	. 1	Merimadi	0	6.	Carr	0	Eilmer	1
			Covi	na -	Bye			
		ROUND 6, V	Veek	of Ap	oril 8, 1963			
Santa Mon	ica 4½,	Covina	$1\frac{1}{2}$	Mo	nterey Park	2,	Pasadena	4
 Quillen 	0	Powelson	1	1.	Syvertsen	$\frac{1}{2}$	Larsen	$\frac{1}{2}$
2. Lessin	g 1	Michaels	0	2.	Brow Dr.	0	Hultgren N.	. 1
Warner	1	Herzog Dr.	0	3.	Sarley	0	Lewis Dr.	1
4. Bersba	ch 1	Dewing	0	4.	Gish	1	Hultgren G.	. 0
5. Hurttle		Ferguson	0	5.	Rader	$\frac{1}{2}$	Carpenter	$\frac{1}{2}$
6. Palmer	$\frac{1}{2}$	Oje	$\frac{1}{2}$	6,	Hillman	0	Carr	1
City Terra	ice 3,	L. A. State	3					
1. Hanken		Amneus Dr.	1					
2. Weinba	um 0	Cunningham	1					
3. Kakimi	1	Marin C.	0					
4. Barrett	. 0	Parnia	1					
5. Parker	1	Marin Dr.	0					
6. Swett	1	Merimadi	0					
		Steiner	Mas	ters	- Bve			
		ROUND 7, V						
Steiner Ma	sters 6,	Santa Monica	0		Covina 1,	Monte	erey Park	5
1. Addison	1	Lessing	0	1.	Michaels	0	Fries	1
2. Weinbe	rger 1	Henin	0	2.	Dewing	0	Brow Dr.	1
3. Kovaes	1	Warner	0	3.	Ferguson	0	Zizda	1
4. Rivise	1	Bersbach	0	4.	Neuss	0	Sarley	1
5. Lazos	1	Quillen	0	5.	OJE	1	Rader	0
6. Pilnick	1	Hurtllen	0	6.	Davidson	0	Hubbard	1
Pasade	na $4\frac{1}{2}$,	Van Nuys	$\frac{1}{2}$		South Bay	2, Ci	ty Terrace	4
1. Larsen	0	Lukaart	i		Jacobs	1	Kakimi	0
2. Hultgre	n N. 1	Mercy	0	2.	Bliss	1	Weinbaum	0
3. Lewis I	Or. 1	Myhro	0	3.	Fether	0	Barrett	1
4. Hultgre		Smith	9	4.	De Beaubien	0	Parker	1
5. Carpen		Goldberg	$\frac{1}{2}$		Safonov	0	Swett	1
6. Adams	1	Katzl	3		Gardner	0	Willette	1
		A.	State	e - B	ye.			

<u>DIVISION B</u> ROUND 4, Week of March 25, 1963

		F	OUND 4, We	ek of :	Mar	ch 25, 1963			
Pa	sadena B 0, S	steir	er Gallants	6	Sar	Fernando 4	, V	Vestchester	2
1.	Kover	0	Kerger	1	1.	Milner	1	Mathews	0
2.	Kotz	0	Kupersmith	1	2.	Levitt	1	Johnson	0
3.	Porth	0	Restrepo	1	3.	Hernandez	1	Huffman	0
4.	McClenahan	0	Stepans	1	4.	Levin	1	Snow	0
5.	Witt	0	Tabash	1	5.	Leekley	0	Llata	1
6.	Cohan	0	Rasis	1	6.	Nogay	0	Miller	1
	Independents	$2\frac{1}{2}$,	Polonia $3\frac{1}{2}$		Sar	nta Monica	$3\frac{1}{2}$	Alondra	$2\frac{1}{2}$
1.	Metz	1	Studnicki	0	1.	Kennedy	1	Barlai	0
2.	Jester	0	Stumbrs	1	2.	Leiber	0	Troy	1
3.	Rogosin D.	0	Golisz	1	3.	Pfeiffer	0	Maillard	1
4.	Cooper	0	Franczak	1	4.	Stani	$\frac{1}{2}$	Larsen	$\frac{1}{2}$
5.	Sacks	$\frac{1}{2}$	Francis	$\frac{1}{2}$	5.	Waterman	1	Eidemiller	0
6.	Kluz	1	Domanski	0	6.	Nusbaum	1	Heffernan	0
			D	owney	- E	Bye			
			ROUND 5, W	eek of	Ap	ril 1, 1963			
	Westchester	$\frac{1}{2}$,	Independents	$5\frac{1}{2}$		Downey $2\frac{1}{2}$	Sa	nta Monica E	$3\frac{1}{2}$
1.	Mathews	0	Metz	1	1.	Gross	1	Kennedy	0
2.	Johnson	0	Jester	1	2.	Thornton	0	Leiber	1
3.	Huffman	0	Rogosin D.	1	3.	Bylinkin	0	Stani	1
4.	Snow	$\frac{1}{2}$	Cooper	$\frac{1}{2}$	4.	Anderson	1	Nusbaum	0
5.	Llata	0	Sacks	1	5.	Keesey	0	Hill	1
6.	Miller	0	Kluz	1	6.	Benz	$\frac{1}{2}$	Sturges S.	$\frac{1}{2}$
	Alondra 5,	F	asadena B	1	Ste	einer Gallants	$4\frac{1}{2}$, San Ferndo	$1\frac{1}{2}$
					1.	Gordon	1	Milner	0
					2.	Kliger	1	Levitt	0
					3.	Kupersmith	1	Hernandez	0
					4.	Stepans	1	Levin	0
					5.	Rasis	$\frac{1}{2}$	Leekley	$\frac{1}{2}$
					6.	Grumette	0	Nogay	1
			1	Poloni	a -	Bve		0 0	
			ROUND 6, W			•			
De	asadena B 3½			$2\frac{1}{2}$		einer Gallants	s 5.	Alondra	1
1.	Kover	1	Gross	0	1.	Gordon	1	Troy	0
2.	Wilson	0	Thornton	1	2.	Grumette	1	Larsen	0
3.	Porth	$\frac{1}{2}$	Anderson	$\frac{1}{2}$	3.	Alza	1	Maillard	0
3. 4.		$\frac{\bar{2}}{1}$	Pye	$\frac{\overline{2}}{0}$	4.	Kupersmith	_	Yarga	0
5.	McClenahan	0	Keesev	1	5.	Stepans	1	Reissmann	ő
6.		1	Shults	ō	6.	Rasis	ō	"avlor	-
					_				

DIVISION B, Remainder of Round 6

Sa	nta Monica	$B_{\frac{1}{2}}$	Polonia 3	$\frac{1}{2}$		San Fernand	o 2,	Independen	ts 3
1.	Kennedy	0	Studnicki	1	1.	Milner	1	Metz	0
2.	Leiber	0	Stumbrs	1	2.	Levitt	1	Jester	0
3.	Stani	0	Golisz	1	3.	Hernandez	0	Rogosin	1
4.	Pfeiffer	1	Francis	0	4.	Levin		Cooper	
5.	Nusbaum	$\frac{1}{2}$	Domanski	$\frac{1}{2}$	5.	Leekley W.	0	Sacks	1
6.	Eilmes	1	Wheeler	0	6.	Leekley E.	0	Kluz	1
			West	chest	er -	Bye.			

ROUND 7, Week of April 15, 1963

	Alondra 2,	Sa	n Fernando 4			Downey $2\frac{1}{2}$,	Steir	ner Gallants 3½	
1.	Troy	0	Milner	1	1.	Gross	1/2	Kliger	1/2
2.	Maillard	1	Levitt	0	2.	Thornton	0	Kupersmith	1
3.	Taylor	0	Hernandez	1	3.	Anderson	0	Alza	1
4.	Reissmann	0	Leekley W.	1	4.	Bylinkin	0	Tabash	1
5.	Heffernan	0	Nogay	1	5.	Benz	1	Bukey	0
6.	Mohammed	1	Leekley A.	0	6.	Keesey	1	Piatigorsky	0
	Polonia $4\frac{1}{2}$,	P	asadena $1\frac{1}{2}$		Wε	stchester 2,	San	nta Monica 4	
1.	Polonia 4½, Studnicki	$\frac{\mathbf{P}}{0}$	asadena 1½ Kover	1		stchester 2, Mathews	San 0	nta Monica 4 Kennedy	1
$\frac{1}{2}$.				1 0	1.				1 1
	Studnicki		Kover	_	1. 2.	Mathews	0	Kennedy	1 1 1
2.	Studnicki Stumbrs		Kover Wilson Dr.	0	1. 2. 3.	Mathews Leeds	0	Kennedy Leiber	1 1 1 0
2. 3.	Studnicki Stumbrs Golisz	0 1 1	Kover Wilson Dr. Porth	0	1. 2. 3. 4.	Mathews Leeds Huffman	0 0 0	Kennedy Leiber Book	1
2. 3. 4. 5.	Studnicki Stumbrs Golisz Francis	0 1 1 1 1 2	Kover Wilson Dr. Porth Kessel	0 0 1 1 2	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Mathews Leeds Huffman Snow	0 0 0 1	Kennedy Leiber Book Stani	1 1 0

STANDINGS

	DIVISION A			DIVISION B	
1.	Steiner Masters	32 - 4	1.	Santa Monica	26 -16
2.	Santa Monica	23 -17	2.	Steiner Gallants	$25\frac{1}{2}$ - $10\frac{1}{2}$
3.	City Terrace	21 -17	3.	Independents	$21\frac{1}{2}$ ·· $13\frac{1}{2}$
4.	L. A. State	$18\frac{1}{2}$ - $17\frac{1}{2}$	4.	Polonia	$21\frac{1}{2}$ - $14\frac{1}{2}$
5.	Pasadena	18 -18	5.	San Fernando	$21\frac{1}{2}$ – $19\frac{1}{2}$
6.	Monterey Park	$16\frac{1}{2}$ - $19\frac{1}{2}$	6.	Alondra	$17\frac{1}{2}$ - $18\frac{1}{2}$
7.	Van Nuys	$12\frac{1}{2}$ - $17\frac{1}{2}$	7.	Downey	15 -21
8.	Covina	$9\frac{1}{2}$ - $26\frac{1}{2}$	8.	Westchester	$11\frac{1}{2}$ - $24\frac{1}{2}$
9.	South Bay	5 -19	9.	Pasadena	7 -29

GAME OF THE MONTH

Notes by Stephen Matzner

The following game is presented even though several months old, because it is a fine example of enterprising defense by the new California College Champion. In the opening, middle game and ending Black plays boldly to keep the balance of the position. At the end, each side is left with a single pawn!

U. S. OPEN 1962

side.

Game No. 741	- Slav Defense
White	Black
Dr. Karl Burger	Stephen Matzner
1. P-Q4	P-Q4
 P-QB4 	P-QB3
3. Kt-QB3	P-K3
4. P-K3	Kt-B3
5. Kt-B3	P-QB3
6. Q-B2	PxP
7. BxP	P-QKt4
8. B-Q3	\mathbf{QKt} - $\mathbf{Q2}$
9. P-QR4	• : •
9. P-K4 is also p	layable here which
after P-B4 leads	to a complicated
game. The purpo	se of 9. P-QR4 is
to prevent P-B4,	by putting pressure
on Black's QKtP.	
9	B-Kt2
10 0-0	

After PxP; BPxP White has the center but Black has good play on the queen-

10. ... R-B1 11. P-K4 P-Kt5

This move is necessary before playing P-B4 and is good only now when the White Kt cannot go to K4.

12. P-K5

12. Kt-Q1, B-K2 (P-B4?; 13. P-Q5!, PxP; 14. PxP, KtxP; 15. Kt-Kt5)

13. P-K5, Kt-Q4; 14. Kt-K3, Ktx Kt; 15. BxKt, P-B4 with an even game.

12. ... PxKt After Kt-Q4; 13. KtxKt, BPxKt; 14. Q-K2 Black's QB has no scope. 13. PxKt P-B4!

KtxP; 14. PxP, P-B4; 15. Q-K2 and W has a better game. Or PxP; 14. QxP!, Q-B2; 15. B-B4!

14. P-Q5 . . .

PxBP, BxKt; 15. PxB, Kt-K4! gives B a good game. 14. B-Kt5, BxKt or 14. PxKtP, BxP gives B no problems.

KtxP 14. ... PxP; 15. R-K1ch or BxP; 15. Bx RP was good for White.

15. PxKP BxKt The upcoming complications seem

to be in W's favor but B has sufficient defense.

16. PxPch KxP 17. PxB

BxRP, R-R1 wins a piece, as does B-B4ch, B-Q4.

17. ... P-B5

The diagonal has to be closed, otherwise the Black K will be in a dangerous position.

18. B-B5 R-B3
R-B4; 19. R-K1! gains a tempo compared with the game continuation.

19. B-Kt5

PxP does not give W anything either:
B-Q3; 20. B-Kt5 (R-K1, R-K1), QB2; 21. BxKt, BxPeh; 22. K-R1 (KKt2, RxB; 23. QR-Q1, B-Q3), Q-B5!;
23. Q-K4 (B-R3, RxB; 24. QR-Q1, RR3; 25. R-Q7ch, K-B3), Q-R3; 24. QR4 (B-R4, B-B5), RxB with a draw as

19. ... PxP

a likely outcome.

20. QR-Q1 ...

QxP, B-Q3 and B gets his K "castled" after R-K1 and has winning chances with his QBP.

20. ... B-Q3
Black is trying to finish his development.

21. KR-K1 R-K1

22. BxP ...

It seems that White is finally breaking through...

22. ... RxRch

23. RxR



23. ... Q-R4!

This double attack is the only move against the threat Q-Kt6, K-B1,

BxKt and mat ...

24. Q-Kt5ch K-B1

25. K-B1 ...

After BxKt, QxRch; 26. K-Kt2, PxB Black's K finds safety on the Q-side. R-K2 also loses after P-Qch; 26.

QxQ, QxBch; 27. K-B1, KtxB.

25. ... B-K4!

QxRch is not good now because of 26. KxQ, KtxB; 27. QxKt, R-Kt3;

28. Q-Kt1, P-B6; 29. K-Q1 and

White has stopped the Black Pawns. 26. P-B4 ...

-V+ D-D- 97 O DE/O V4 D D

BxKt, RxB; 27. Q-R5(Q-K4, P-B6!; 28. QxB?, QxQ; 29. RxQ, P-Kt3!) R-R3; 28. Q-B5ch, R-B3; 29. Q-B8ch, K-K2; 30. QxBP, P-Kt3!

26. ... QxRch!

27. KxQ KtxB 28. QxKt R-QKt3

P-B6?; 29. K-Q1, P-B7ch;30. QxBP.

29. Q-B5ch K-Kt1

30. Q-Kt1 ...

W, of course, has a perpetual check on the KR3-QB8 diagonal.

30. ... P-B6

31. K-Q1 ...

PxB? loses after ... P-B7.

31. ... R-Q3ch 32. K-B2 R-Q7ch

33. K-Kt3 B-Q5

34. P-B5 RxP

35. P-R4 ...

55. P-N4 ...

The last chance for W to make a mistake: 35. B-K3?, P-B7; 36. QxBP, RxQ; KxR, BxB and Black wins.

35. ... R-Kt7

36. Q-K4 P-Kt8(Q)

Of course on R-Kt8 White can give perpetual check.

37.	QxQ	R-Kt7ch	4 4.	KxP	K-B3
38.	QxR	PxQ	45.	K-Kt3	KxP
39.	K-B2	K-B2	46.	K-Kt4	K-K3
40.	P-KR5	B-B3	47.	K-R5	K- Q2
41.	P-R6	PxP	48.	KxP	K-B1
Of course	e not BxB??;	42. P-R7 wins.	49.	K-R7	K-B2
42.	BxP	B-Kt2	50.	P-R5	K-K-B1
43.	BxB	KxB	51.	K-Kt6	K-Kt1
				Draw	

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNICATIONS AND CORRECTIONS

Erik Osbun of Santa Rosa writes: "Re editor's note to Blackstone-Loftsson (Game of the Month, No. 692): 29.Q-R5,

BxKt defends 'mate in two ways' easily. Also, 'why not R-Q8 or R-Q7' deserves evaluation. First if 32...R-Q7; 33. B-Kt8 forces mate. However 32...R-Q8ch; 33. K-R2, R-Q7 (...Q-B1; 34. R-Kt3 wins); 34. B-Kt8?, RxPch wins for Black. Therefore 34. Kt-R5 forcing mate is much better. I think Blackstone played a perfect game.



"About an old game: Osbun-Borochow in October 1958 Reporter (No. 3). I missed one of the oldest mates in the books with 20. B-Q3? - 20. R-Kt8ch, KxR; 21. R-Kt1ch mates! I was not aware of it until David Krause embarrassed me with it!.... This will be my last effort for some time as I am enlisting in the U.S. M.C. in January, 1963."

Charles R. Savery corrects us on Game No. 735, W. Addison - R. Hoppe, which was played in the 2nd Hamilton A. F. B. Open, not the Calif. Championship.

Jim Schmitt of San Francisco writes: 'Don't like to flaw your annotators, as I know their lot is a hard one. This time is the exception as it concerns my own game! In the April 1963 issue McClain-Schmitt, pp. 100-101, we find after Black's 19th move RxP the comment 'Aggressive, but White can now play BxP.' Quite true - White can play lots of things. The implication however seems to be that 'BxP' is a good move, or at least sounder. Such is far from being the case. After 20. BxP, R-B2; 21. B-B3, B-Kt5; if 22. K-Kt2, Q-R4 and Black wins.''

HAM

MIL	TON A.F.B	. OPEN, 1963			
		Game Prize)	í	*	oBo I
Game Frize) Game Frize) Game Frize)					#
	White	Black	ſ		
R.	Hoppe I	R. Wilcox	J		2
			1	全主 全 主	
1.	P-QB4	P-KKt3			
2.	P-KKt3	B-Kt2	1		Â.
3.	B-Kt2	P-Q3			
4.	Kt-QB3	P-K4	l.		\$
5.	P-K3	Kt-K2	35.	RxKtch	KtxR
6.	KKt-K2	0-0	36.	RxKtch	QxR
7.	0-0	P-QB3	37.	KxR	R-K1
8.	P-Q4	P-KB4	38.	Q-B6	QxQ
9.	P-Kt3	Kt-Q2	39.	BxQ	K-B2
10.	B-QR3	R-B3	40.	B-Kt5	R-K6
11.	Q-Q2	P-K5	41.	B-Q8	RxP
12.	QR-Q1	P-KKt4	42.	B-B7	K-K2
13.	P-B3	PxP	43.	B-Kt8	K-Q2
14.	BxBP	B-R3	44.	BxP	K-B2
15.	B-KKt2	Q-B1	45.	P-R5	PxP
16.	R-B2	Q-B2	46.	B-B1	K-Kt2
17.	QR-KB1	P-Kt5	47.	P-Kt6	P-R5
18.	Kt-B4	Kt-B1	48.	B-B4	R-Kt5
19.	Q-Q3	BxKt	49.	B-Q3	P-R6
20.	KPxB	B-Q2	50.	BxP	P-R7
21.	R-K1	P-KR4	51.	BxP	P-R8(Q)
22.	R(B2)-K2	R-K1	52.	B-K6	Q-KB8
23.	P-Q5	P-B4	53.	Resigns.	
24.	B-Kt2	R-R3			
25.	Kt-Kt5	BxKt	Gan	ne No. 743 - Old	Indian
26.	PxB	P-R5		White	Black
27.	Q-QB3	PxP	R	. Hoppe K.	Zangerle
28.	PxP	P-Kt3			
29.	.?-R4	Q-R2	1.	P-QB4	Kt-KB3
30.	R-K3	Q-:32	2.	Kt-KB3	P-Q3
31.	R(1)-K2	K.t(1) -Kt3	3.	P-KKt3	P-K4
32.	:R-K6	B-Q1	4.	B-Kt2	B-K2
33.	:R-B6	Q-E2	5.	0-0	0-0
34.	R(2)-X8	R-R7	6.	P-Q4	QKt-Q2

7.	Kt-B3	P-B3	36.	B-B5	R-Kt7ch
8.	P-K4	Q-B2	37.	K-R1	R(1)-R7
9.	Q-B2	Kt-Kt3	38.	RxKtch	Resigns.
10.	P-Kt3	B-Kt5	CALIE	COLLEGE	CHAMP., 1963
11.	B-K3	BxKt			Greco Counter
12.	BxB	KR-K1	Gan	White	Black
13.	QR-Q1	B-B1	т 1	Blackstone	
14.	R-Q2	P-QR4	0. 1	Diackstone	b. Macznei
15.	KR-Q1	P-R3	1.	P-K4	P-K4
16.	P-KR3	P-R5	2.	Kt-KB3	P-KB4
17.	B-Kt2	RPxP	3.	PxP	P-K5
18.	RPxP	P-Kt4	4.	Kt-K5	Kt-KB3
19.	P-B4	Kt-R2	5.	P-Q4	P-Q3
20.	PxKtP	KtxKtP	6.	Kt-B4	BxP
21.	R-KB1	B-Kt2	7.	P-Q5	B-K2
22.	P-Q5	P-B4	8.	Kt-K3	B-Kt3
			9.	Kt-B3	0-0
1			10.	B-K2	QKt-Q2
	↑ ₩	t გ	11.	Kt-Kt4	Kt-K1
100	Ā T	1	12.	P-B3	P-KR4



23.	P-R4	Kt-R2
24.	R(Q2)-B2	P-B3
25 .	B-R3	Q~ K 2
26.	Q-Q2	Q-B1
27.	Kt-Kt5	Q-K2
28.	BxP	R-R3
29.	R-B5	R-Q1
30.	R-R5	Kt-Q2
31.	Kt-B7	R-Kt3
32.	Kt-K6	RxP
33.	K-R2	BxB
34.	QxB	R-R1
35.	Q-Kt6ch	K-R1



PxP

13. Kt-B2

16.	0-0	RxKt!
17.	BxB	RxR
18.	QxR	KtxB
19.	B-K3	B-Kt4
20.	B-Q4	B-B3

14. ... Kt-K4! 15. BxP B-R5

		THE CALIFORNIA (
21.	B- K 3	BxKt	
22.	PxB	Kt-B3	
23.	Q-B5	Q- K 2	
24.	B-Q4	Q-K5	
25.	Q-Kt5	Kt-K4	
26.	R-KB1	R~KB1	
27.	R-B4	Q~K8ch	
28.	R-B1	QxRch	
29.	Resign	s.	
	_		
Game No. 745 - Four Knights			

\underline{G}	ame No.	745 -	Four	Knights
	White	_	Black	
т	Diockata	TT7	C	مسحمات مساء

J. Blackstone W. Cunningham

1. P-K4	P-K4
2. Kt-K	B3 Kt-QB3
3. Kt-B3	Kt-B3
4. P-Q4	PxP
5. Kt-Q5	B- K 2
6. KtxQ1	P 0-0
7. Kt-B	KtxKt

8. PxKt R-K1 9. B-K2 Kt-Kt5

10. P~QB3 Kt-R3

11. P-Q6

A typical "sacrifice" to stifle Black's Queen-side. White gets a lasting initiative because he can bring all his pieces to bear on the King-side.

11. ... B-B3 12, 0-0 P-B3

Too slow, Kt-B4-K3 offers an adequate defense.

13. B∴K3 P-QKt3 14. B-QB4 Kt-B4 15. BxKt PxB16. Q-Kt4 K-R1 There is now no choice.

> 17. BxP B-R3 18. Q~R5 R-K4 19. KR-K) စ်⊊်α

20. KR-K1 B-Q6



21. RxR

The pressure could have been maintained with 21. P-KB4, RxR; 22. RxR

> 21. ... BxR22. Kt-K7

22. KtxRP, BxB; 23. Kt-B7ch, K-Kt1; 24. QxB, Q-B3; 25. KtxB leads to a difficult Q and P ending, even though White is 2 pawns up.

> 22. ... **BxQP** 23. BxB QxKt 24. Q-Kt6 K-Kt1 25. Q-R7ch K-B2 26. K-B1 B-K4 27. B-Kt6ch K-K3 28. B-B5ch K-B2 29. R-Q1 P-Q4

30. R-Q3 Q-Kt4 Now 30... P-Q5 prevents R-K3.

31. R-K3 32. P.KKt4

Not only guarding the Bishop but preventing P-KR4.

> 32. ... P-05 33. P-KR4 G-Bi 34. Q-Kt6ch K-K2 35. Q~K6ch K-B1. 56. BxB $P \times P$ 37 B X55 Hesigns



Rex Wilcox vs. Henry Gross in the last round at Hamilton A. F. B.



TASKS: Good Companions, 1920, by Charles W. Sheppard.







Mate in Two.

SCLUTIONS: (Compare the following with solutions submitted last time by Sven Almgren -- an enlightening example of the solving process.)

No. 196: 1. K-B5 (not K-B4).

No. 197: The intended idea is Kt-Q5, with echo mates (not mirror). Cooked by Q-Q2, as pointed out by Almgren.

No. 198: 1. B-Kt2.

No. 199: The intention is 1. B-B3, threatening Q-Kt6ch. The Bishop can also try R1, Kt1, or R7, each of which is defeated in turn by the Knights and Bishop playing to Q2. The diagram we received is missing a piece, allowing 1. RxB with mate in 2.

No. 200: 1. Kt-B4 (not Kt-Q4, R-Kt7!).

No. 201: Not really a monstrosity! 1. P-Kt4 is the key, threatening P-B8(Kt). After 1...Q-R8, 2. only R-K2 will do (QxB, 3. RxR). After 1...R-R5, only 2.R-K3 will do (QxB, 3. R-B4).

No. 202: There are four promotions at each square; only the QRP must be the last one. So Black can make any one of 4 moves with any one of 6 pawns, on the first move.

No. 203: Sven is correct to point out that the switching of the Knights can be accomplished in 5 moves; but there are only 3 different ways of making these five moves (B3,Q4 or R4, B5, R6; and B3, K5, Kt4 and R6). The loss of right of castling is achieved by the 4 moves Kt-R3 or B3, R-Kt1, R-R1, and Kt-Kt1, by both sides. So there are 2 different ways of this occurring. However, the Knights, in crossing to the other side, will, in some sequences, capture each other. With these hints, the total number of combinations of sequences can be calculated.