
THE CALIFORNIA CHESS

REPORTER

VOLUME XV, NUMBER 6

MAY - JUNE, 1966



Mate in Four

FROM: 244 Kearny Street, 4th Floor San Francisco, Calif. 94108

David Lawson 111 Montague Street Brooklyn, New York 10002

TO:

THIRD ANNUAL ARTHUR B. STAMER MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

JULY 2-3-4, 1966

Mechanics' Institute Chess Room, 57 Post Street, San Francisco

Entry Fee: \$10 plus USCF membership. The tournament will be USCF

rated.

Prizes: Minimum guaranteed prize list \$650. First \$250, second

\$125, third \$75, class prizes, etc.

Schedule: Six-round Swiss: First round 11:00 A.M. Saturday, July 2

Second round 5:00 P.M. Saturday, July 2 Third round 10:00 A.M. Sunday, July 3 Fourth round 5:00 P.M. Sunday, July 3 Fifth round 10:00 A.M. Monday, July 4 Sixth round 5:00 P.M. Monday, July 4

Time limit: 40 moves in two hours.

Registration: Up to 10:00 A.M. Saturday, July 2, or mail advance

entry to:

William G. Addison, Chess Room Director

Mechanics' Institute

57 Post Street

San Francisco, California 94104

Tournament Director: International Master George Koltanowski

REPORTER

ICLI	
Vol. XV, No. 6 \$2 per	year May-June, 1966
THE CALIFORNIA CHESS REPORTER	k, 244 Kearny Street, San Francisco 94108
Published	Bi-monthly
Official Organ of the Califo	rnia State Chess Federation
Editor: Gut	hrie McClain
Associate Editors: Gordon S. Barrett, Lo	s Angeles; Dr. Mark W. Eudey, Atherton;
Neil T. Austin, Sacramento	; Irving Rivise, Los Angeles
Games Editor:	William G. Addison
Guest Annotator: In	ntl. Master Imre Konig
Reporter Tasks:	
Second-class postage paid at	San Francisco, California
CON	TENTS
North vs. South Match 105-106	Tarjan Junior Champion 115-11
Statistical Report 107-110	Wilcox, Mechanics' Institute 11
Minutes of CSCF Meeting 110-112	Central California Championship 11
Rivise Calif. Speed Champion 112	SacramentoCityChampionship117-11
Suttles 30-30 Winner 112-113	Davis Rated Tournament 11
Rebels Win on Morphy Day . 113-114	Pilnick Steiner Club Champ 11

NORTH WALLOPS SOUTH, $24\frac{1}{2}-15\frac{1}{2}$

Game of the Month 119-121

San Francisco-East Bay 114

Southern California League 114

The Northern California team surprised a pretty strong Southern California team at the annual Memorial Day match, held on May 29, 1966, at the Towne & Country Lodge in Fresno. It was the 33rd annual staging of the blue-ribbon classic of the California chess world.

The North varied its usual tactics and won most of its points on the top boards instead of on the bottom boards. The North won the first ten by a score of 8-2, and the South won only one game out of the first fourteen.

The team match was preceded by the Speed Championship, won by Irving Rivise, and was followed by the 30--30 tournament, won by Duncan Suttles. The annual meeting of the California State Chess Federation was held on Sunday afternoon between the team match and the 30--30 tournament.

TOTAL NORTH

NORTHERN CALIFORNI	A	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA	
1. D. Suttles	$\frac{1}{2}$	I. Rivise	1/2
2. D. Blohm	ĩ	A. Spiller	Õ
3. J. Blackstone	1	R. Schultz	0
4. E. Pruner	1	S. Almgren	0
5. H. Gross	1	H. Borochow	0
6. P. Smith	0	D. Benge	1
7. N. Miller	1	K. Stani	0
8. G. McClain	1	T. Fries	0
9. R. Schutt	1	G. Barrett	0
10. K. Bopp	1/2	D. Baker	$\frac{1}{2}$
ll. Dr. F. Ruys	1	J. Porth	0
12. C. Stamer	1. 2. 1. 2. 1.2	A. Gates	1/2 0 1/2 1/2 1/2 1
13. J. Iwashita	$\frac{1}{2}$	Mrs. Grumette	1/2
14. W. Leeds		N. Hultgren	1/2
15. R. Freeman	0	R. Pease	
l6. Dr. David-Malig	0	M. Rubin	1
17. Dr. R. Hultgren	1	L. Johnson	0
18. E. Wrany	1/2	Frank Frilling	1 2 0
19. R. Baker	1	Fred Frilling	0
20. J. Corthell	$\frac{1}{2}$	M. Klausner	1/2
21. C. Wilson	1	C. Henderson	0
22. L. Turner	1	M. Tupas	0
23. C. J. Smith	1/2	S. Smoak	1 1 2
24. F. Weinberg	1/2	G. Hultgren	1/2
25. G. Farly	0	V. Fagin	1
26. F. Olvera	0	A. Baker	1
27. G. B. Oa kes	1	A. Stobbe	0
28. S. Van Gelder	1	K. Forrest	0
29. Dr. E. Schnoor	0	H. D. Rader	1
30. F. N. Christensen	1	C. Fotias	0
31. R. Oyler	1/2	R. Henderson	1/2
32. E. Hawksworth	1	G. Crandall	0
33. S. Blackmon	0	J. Hunt	1
34. E. Steve	1	R. Clark	0
35. R. Hall	1	A. Fink	0
36. M. Rivera	0	W. Van Gelder	1
37. S. McGuire	0	J. Robert	1
38. D. Adams	0	R. Mills	1
39. R. Walker	1	B. Mueller	0
40. Mrs. Smith	1/2	C. Ulrich	$\frac{1}{2}$
		_	

24½

TOTAL SOUTH

 $15\frac{1}{2}$

STATISTICAL REPORT by E. C. Jonas

The 1966 Northern California vs. Southern California team match was the 33rd in a series started in 1926. This year's match was 40 boards. Of the total of 40 games played, 16 were won by White, 13 by Black, and 11 were drawn.

The South has won 8 times, the North now 22 times, and there have been 3 ties. A total of 1349 games have been played during this period (not counting a 20-board match between the "second" teams in 1947, won by the North $10\frac{1}{2}-9\frac{1}{2}$). Total score: North 740 - 609.

Over the years the North has used a total of 317 players, while the South has used 454. There have been 39 players who have played at various times for both North and South. Previous results:

Year	North	South	Winner	Year	North	South	Winner
1926	4½	7½	South	1950	24	21	North
1927	$6\frac{1}{2}$	5½	North	1951	38½	$19\frac{1}{2}$	North
1930	$6\frac{1}{2}$	5⅓	North	1952	32	27	North
1931	$10\frac{1}{2}$	$14\frac{1}{2}$	South	1953	34	27	North
1932	$9\frac{1}{2}$	$10\frac{1}{2}$	South	1954	42	30	North
1934	$12\frac{1}{2}$	12½	Tie	1955	35	24	North
1935	$12\frac{1}{2}$	12½	Tie	1956	$31\frac{1}{2}$	35½	South
1936	$9\frac{1}{2}$	$15\frac{1}{2}$	South	1957	36	37	South
1937	13	12	North	1958	32½	30½	North
1938	$14\frac{1}{2}$	10½	North	1959	37	33	North
1939	14	12	North	1960	29	22	North
1940	$18\frac{1}{2}$	6½	North	1961	31½	$17\frac{1}{2}$	North
1946	14	11	North	1962	28½	$14\frac{1}{2}$	North
1947	17	5	North	1963	18	22	South
1948	28⅓	28½	Tie	1964	29	19	North
1949	24½	$26\frac{1}{2}$	South	1965	21	18	North
	_		•	1966	24½	$15\frac{1}{2}$	North

As has been the case for a number of years, the Northern Players had more years experience at North-South matches than the Southern Players. The results by experience are shown as follows

Years	οf	Pı	ev	io	us	E:	кр	er	ie	nce	<u>e</u>	North:	Won	Lost	South:	Won	Lost
		nd		_									4	0		0	1
11	-	19	٠.					,		•	٠		6	4		2	6
5	-	10) ,								,		5	4		4	5
1	-	4	٠.							,			$2\frac{1}{2}$	2½		41/2	4 2
	0		•	•			•			•				_5_		_5_	_8_
													24½	$15\frac{1}{2}$		15½	24 2

The following table gives the previous experience of each team:

NORTHERN	CALIFOR	NIA		SOUTHERN	CALIFORI	NIA	
Previous	No. of	Previous	No. of	Previous	No. of	Previous	No. of
Years	Players	Years	Players	Years	Players	Years	Players Players
32	1	11	2	25	1	7	2
29	1	10	1	19	1	6	1
22	1	9	4	17	1	5	1
20	1	7	2	15	2	4	2
19	1	6	1	14	2	3	2
18	1	5	1	12	1	2	3
17	1	4	1	11	1	1	2
16	1	3	3	9	3	0	13
15	1	1	1	8	2		40
12	3	0	12				
			40				

Total years of experience: 331.

Total years of experience: 232.

NORTHERN CALIFORNIA

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

In 1966 the following players received their 10 year pins:

LeRoy Turner Ernst Wrany Robert Baker H. D. Rader

Elwin Hawksworth Lena Grumette Tom Fries

In 1966 the following received 5 year pins:

Gordon Barrett Alvin Baker

In 1967 the following players will be eligible for 10 year pins:

Foster Clark

A. J. Stobbe Austin Gates Gerard Van Deene

In 1967 the following players will be eligible for 5 year pins:

Dr. David-Malig M. A. Sanders R. Oyler Chester Stamer

C. Edward Swett Frank Frilling Fred Frilling

NORTHERN CALIFORN	<u>IA</u>		SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA	
F. N. Christensen	33	E. H. Mueller 7	Harry Borochow	26
W. G. McClain	30	Karl Bopp 7	C. J. Gibbs	23
W. A. Hendricks	27	O. Bender 6	LeRoy Johnson	20
Henry Gross	23	Dr.Janushkowsky6	Sven Almgren	18
S. H. VanGelder	21	Godfrey Lutz 6	M. Gordon	17
Dr. R. Hultgren	20	John Blackstone6	Kyle Forrest	16
Phil D. Smith	19	Dr. E. Schnoor 6	Irving Rivise	16
Wilbur Leeds	18	W. Addison 5	C. Henderson	15
Frank Olvera	17	M. E. Mattingly5	Neilen Hultgren	15
N. T. Austin	16	E. Edmondson 5	C. Ulrich	13
G. B. Oakes	16	W. Rebold 5	Glen Hultgren	12
V. Pafnutieff	15	Eugene Lien 5	D. Maron	11
L. Krogness	14	Larry Zipfel 5	L. Standers	10
Earl Pruner	13		W. Wheeler	10
Frank Weinberg	13		Mrs. L. Grumette	10
W. T. Adams	12		H. D. Rader	10
Russ Freeman	12		G. Van Deene	9
G. Rasmussen	12		A. Gates	9
Curtis Wilson	12		A. J. Stobbe	9
Dr. Frank Ruys	12		F. E. Sleep	8
L. Daugherty	11		D. E. Benge	8
E. C. Jonas	11		J. E. Barry	7
C. J. Smith	11		Chris Fotias	7
C. Capps	10		L. Legler	7
R. Gu zm an	10		Z. Offenbach	7
J. Schmitt	10		A. Raymond	7
R. Ba ker	10		J. Alexander	6
E. Hawksworth	10		N. Robinson	6
LeRoy Turner	10		J. Hunt	5
Ernst Wrany	10		J. Lazos	5
R. Burger	8		Mrs. J. Piatigorsky	5
V. Zemitis	8		J. P. Quillen	5
George Farly	8		G. Soules	5
Mrs, C. J. Smith	8		G. Barrett	5
N. Falconer	7		A. Baker	5

Of those who have played five times or more, the following have a "batting average" of more than .500: (Players with an * after their names have played 15 games or more.)

NODWIEDN GATTE	ODNITA		50	UTHERN CALIFORN	т л
NORTHERN CALIF		D E	615	D. Maron	773
E. Lien	1000	R. Freeman			
N. Falconer	929	W. Addison	600	J. Lazos	700
Dr. F. Ruys	917	E. C. Jonas	591	D. Benge	688
W. T. Adams	833	F. N. Christense	n591*	J. Barry	643
I. Zipfel	800	Dr.Janushkowsky	583	A. Gates	611
O. Bender	750	P. D. Smith	57 9 *	N. Robinson	583
L. Daugherty	727	V. Zemitis	562	H. Borochow	577*
C. Wilson	708	R, Baker	550	L. Legler	572
L. Turner	700	E. Pruner	538	W. Wheeler	550
R. Burger	686	L. Krogness	538	I. Rivise	531*
J. Blackstone	667	F. Olvera	52 9 *		
F. Weinberg	667	W. Leeds	528*		
C. Capps	650	G. McClain	517*		
J. Schmitt	650				
W. A. Hendrick	:s648*				
K. Bopp	643				
H. Gross	630*	*			
Dr. R. Hultgre	n625*				
G. B. Oaks	625*				
	625*				
S. Van Gelder	023^				

(Only the records of those who have played within the last five years are recorded. Players who have played for both North and South are listed under the team for whom they have played the most, OR for the team they played for this year.)

ANNUAL MEETING OF THE CALIFORNIA STATE CHESS FEDERATION

The annual meeting of the CSCF was held on Sunday afternoon following the North-South match. The attendance was somewhat sparse because two games were still being played and also because some of the players had gone home.

The annual meeting of the CSCF was called to order by President Isaac Kashdan at $5\!:\!20$ P.M., May 29, 1966 at the Towne & Country Lodge, Fresno.

- I. The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.
- II. The Treasurer's report was read by Dr. Ralph Hultgren and approved.

III. Report of the Tournament Committee: President Kashdan, chairman of the Tournament Committee, called upon Gordon Barrett of the Southern California part of the Committee, for a report on CSCF tournaments. Mr. Barrett reported on the California Open and the 30-30 tournament held in connection with the North-South team match. The California Open was held at the Hacienda Motel at Fresno in 1965 and will again be held at the Hacienda in 1966. The 30-30 did not draw the expected attendance and evidently did not increase the attendance at the North-South match. Mr. Barrett discussed various suggestions: (1) Prizes should be higher in the California Open and the CSCF should guarantee them; (2) Should the North-South match be a double-round match with colors reversed; (3) Should the match be held elsewhere than Fresno, such as San Luis Obispo (providing suitable accommodations could be obtained - Mr. Barrett and Mr. McClair offered to look into this matter) or on a rotation between northern and southern California (Santa Barbara and Monterey, for example); (4) Should the California Open be moved in a similar manner; and (5) Means for starting the North-South match earlier.

It was moved and seconded that the California Chess Reporter run an opinion poll on these matters. Motion passed,

It was moved and seconded that registration for the North-South match close at 9:00 A.M. on the morning of the match for all players who have not already registered by mail or by telephone. Motion passed

IV. New Business:

- $1. \quad \hbox{Duncan Suttles suggested that the Rapid-Transit State} \\ Championship be conducted as a four-game match. \\$
 - 2. Benton James offered the Atlas Club for CSCF events.
- 3. Benton James suggested that class tournaments, which have already been started in southern California, be held State-wide. The results would be State champions in Class A, Class B, Class C, etc. This would require northern California to cooperate in establishing winners to play southern California winners for the State championships Mr. James reported that there would be \$1,000 in prizes for the southern California class championships.
- 4. Mr. Barrett requested permission to purchase chess clocks for the CSCF, to be used mainly in the California Open. No decision was made, but the consensus was negative.
 - V. Old Business. None.
 - VI. Election of Directors:
 - 1. At Large, Southern California; Irving Rivise

2. At Large, Northern California: Ron Pease H. D. Rader Los Angeles: Gordon Barrett

4. Los Angeles:

5. San Diego Area: No representatives to vote. President to appoint, probably either Rod Freeman or John Alexander, No representatives to

6. Northern California: vote. President to appoint.

7. Redwood Empire Area: Mike Goodall

8. Central California: John Blackstone 9. Riverside-San Bernardino Area: No representatives to

vote. President to appoint.

10. San Francisco Bay Area: Guthrie McClain There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

John Blackstone, acting Secretary.

IRVING RIVISE CALIFORNIA SPEED CHAMPION

Irving Rivise of Los Angeles topped a field of nine finalists to win the State Speed Championship, held at Fresno on Saturday, May 28. Rivise won the first prize of \$25. John Blackstone and Duncan Suttles tied for second and divided \$15 and \$10 prizes.

There were three preliminaries of nine players each:

- 1. Duncan Suttles, Arthur Spiller and Harry Borochow.
- 2. Irving Rivise, Karl Stani, Gordon Barrett.
- 3. David Blohm, John Blackstone, Martin Rubin.

SUTTLES REPEATS AS CALIFORNIA 30-30 CHAMPION by Gordon S. Barrett

He did it last year, and this time defended his title in great style. Duncan Suttles allowed only one draw to Irving Rivise, who finished second, and took top honors with $5\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$. He will hold the State 30-30 title for a long time to come, as this event won't be repeated for a few years anyway - until we have another 3-day Memorial Day weekend.

Rivise was a clear second, 5-1, drawing with David Blohm as well as Suttles. Blohm lost to Suttles and tied for third with Dr. Frank Ruys at the $4\frac{1}{2}-1\frac{1}{2}$ mark. Other prizewinners were: Dr. Ruys, Expert Prize, Karl Stani and Ron Pease, tie for Class A, Manuel Tupas, Class B, and R. Hall and S. Blackmon, tie for Class C. A good tournament, with 27 entries - slightly less than last year.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score
1. D. Suttles	W20	W4	W5	D2	W3	W7	5½- ½
2. I. Rivise	W23	W15	W10	D1	W5	D3	5 - 1
3. D. Blohm	W24	W8	W13	W6	L1	D2	4½-1½
4. Dr. F. Ruys	W26	L1	W27	W11	W10	D6	4½-1½
5. R. Pease	W17	W12	L1	W8	L2	W16	4 - 2
6. P. Smith	W25	D10	W9	L3	W23	D4	4 - 2
7. K. Stani	D19	W14	D24	W13	W12	L1	4 - 2
8. N. Miller	W22	L3	W26	L5	W13	W11	4 - 2
9. M. Tupas	D14	W19	L6	L10	W24	W15	3½-2½
10. A. Allen	W21	D6	L2	W9	L4	D14	3 - 3
ll. A. Stobbe	L12	W17	W16	L4	W14	L8	3 - 3
12. R. Schultz	W11	L5	W18	W15	L7	-	3 - 3
13. R. Hall	BYE	W16	L3	L7	L8	W25	3 - 3
14. M. Rubin	D9	L7	W19	W24	L11	D10	3 - 3
15. R. Baker	W27	L2	W25	L12	W19	L9	3 - 3
16. M. Gordon	W18	L13	L11	W27	W22	L5	3 - 3
17. S. Blackmon	L5	L11	L20	BYE	W27	W21	3 - 3
18. F. Olvera	L16	W21	L12	L19	W25	W22	3 - 3
19. R. Henderson	D7	L9	L14	W18	L15	W24	2½-3½
20. C. Henderson	L1	D25	W17	W26	-	-	2½-3½
21. Dr. E. Schnoor	L10	L18	W23	L22	W26	L17	2 - 4
22. H. Rader	L8	L24	BYE	W21	L16	L18	2 - 4
23. R. Clark	L2	L27	L21	L25	BYE	W26	2 - 4
24. V. Fagin	L3	W22	D7	L14	L9	L19	1½-4½
25. G. Crandall	L6	D20	L15	W23	L18	L13	$1\frac{1}{2} - 4\frac{1}{2}$
26. C, Fotias	L4	BYE	L8	L20	L21	L23	1 - 5
27. R. Mills	L15	W23	L4	L16	L17	-	1 - 5

REBELS TROUNCE YANKEES IN ANNUAL SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA TEAM MATCH

The annual warm-up team match for the Southern California team was held on May 22 as part of the traditional Morphy Day chess festiva! The Rebels won very convincingly, and had all the players come to Fresno the next Sunday the North would doubtless have been in trouble.

Morphy Day, of course, had other events than the team match. The speed championship was won by Leslie Simon (after two sections had been won by Allan Troy and Tibor Weinberger). Lectures and simultaneous exhibitions were part of the program, and the trophies to the winning teams in the league matches were presented.

Morphy Day was held at the League's new headquarters, the Atlas Club (Benton James, director).

YA	NKEES		REBELS		3	YANKEES		REBELS	
1.	Simon	1/2	Weinberger	-1 ₂	17.	Hendy	0	Murphy	1
2.	Troy	0	Rivise	1	18.	Martin	0	Henderson	1
3.	Saidi	0	Spiller	1	19.	Pollard	1	Nanassy	0
4.	Barrett	0	Maillard	1	20.	Harrison	0	Marino	1
5.	Tarjan	1	Larsen	0	21.	Heine	0	Murphy	1
6.	Eberlein	1	Gross R.	0	22.	Krueger	1	Mikl	0
7.	Rogosin H	.0	Cotten	1	23.	Holm	0	Gage	1
8.	Jurado	0	Gates	1	24.	Foss	0	Smoak	1
9.	Morford	1/2	Argall	1 2	25.	Allan	0	Ellis	1
10.	Schlosser	0	Frilling Fd.	1	26.	Jameson	0	Garrett	1
11.	Cross	1	Forrest	0	27.	Suski	0	Carr	1
12.	Smith R.	1/2	Frilling Fk.	1/2	28.	Haney	0	McEldowney	1
13.	Hultgren	1	Glassberg	0	29.	Gibson	0	Gross L	1
14.	Lubin	1	Wilson	0	30.	Carrol1	0	Hense	1
15.	Rader	0	Hoke	1	31.	Ten	0	Gayetano	1
16.	${\tt Bugreeff}$	0	Shipin	1	32.	Rashkin	1	Ito	0

SAN FRANCISCO VS. EAST BAY

On the previous Saturday night a small team match was held at the Mechanics' Institute in San Francisco. This event is northern California's warm-up for the North-South match, and used to be a large affair. In fact, there used to be a preliminary match Oakland vs. Berkely, after which these two sides joined forces with Central California League players to take on the San Francisco team. Whatever the reason, there was a disappointing turnout this year. We have mislaid the score sheet, but we feel that nobody will miss it. The North won the big match, anyhow!

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA LEAGUE TEAM TOURNAMENT

The UCLA team won Division A honors, as reported in our last issue. There are still enough unfinished games to affect the standings of at least three teams, so this division will be reported next time. Details of Division B follow:

				DI	VISI	ON B	3				_
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Points	Matches
1,	Atomics	X	3	2½	3½	3½	41/2	5½	4½	27-15	5월-1월
2,	Monterey Park	3	Χ	21/2	5	$1\frac{1}{2}$	3½	6	$4\frac{1}{2}$	26-16	4½-2½
3,	Downey	$3\frac{1}{2}$	3^{1}_{2}	X	2	3½	4½	3	5½	25½-16½	5½-1½
4,	S.B./Rivers.	$2\frac{1}{2}$	1	4	Х	3	3	41/2	5	23-19	4 - 3
5,	Whittier	$2\frac{1}{2}$	41/2	2 2	3	X	_3	3½	4	23-19	4 - 3
6.	S.F. Valley	1/2	2½	15	-3	3	Х	3½	5	20-22	2 - 5
7.	Pasadena	1/2	()	3	2	21/2	21/2	Х	4	14-28	1 2 - 5 2
8.	City Terrace	13	14	1,		2		2	X	91 - 321	0 - 7

JIM TARJAN CALIFORNIA JUNIOR CHAMPION

Jim Tarjan of Sherman Oaks tied for first place with three other players and won the California Junior title in June. Jim, who is only 14, made a 5-1 score and 21 tie-breaking points. Tied with Tarjan with 5-1 scores were: G. Gean (20 tie-breaking points), T. Beckman (19) and B. Stewart (18 $\frac{1}{2}$). Tarjan defeated defending champion David Blohm of San Francisco in the last round. The tournament was held at the Herman Steiner Chess Club and was directed by Grandmaster Isaac Kashdan.

CALIFORNIA JUNIOR CHAMPIONSHIP, JUNE 17-19, 1966

CALIFORNIA JUNIOR CHA	MPIONS			-19, 19			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score
1. J. Tarjan	W35	W18	L6	W29	W21	W5	5 - 1
2. G. Gean	W42	W40	L5	W36	W6	W 7	5 - 1
3. T. Beckman	W44	L6	W41	W35	W14	W8	5 - 1
4. B. Stewart	W43	W29	W49	L5	W19	W9	5 - 1
5. D. Blohm	W32	W13	W2	W4	D7	L1	4½-1½
6. S. Rains	W39	W3	W1	D12	L2	W23	4½-1½
7. S. Hohensee	W53	W22	W19	W24	D5	L2	4½-1½
8. D. Anderson	W33	D23	D10	W28	W12	L3	4 - 2
9. P. Rhee	W34	W15	L28	W33	W10	L4	4 - 2
10. R. Nevstaedter	W46	D11	D8	W18	L9	W29	4 - 2
ll. R. Bliss	W25	D10	L23	D16	W41	W21	4 - 2
12. G. Pickler	W45	W38	W24	D6	L8	D18	4 - 2
13. S. Gruen	W27	L5	L33	W26	W43	W28	4 - 2
14. L. Harter	W41	L24	W45	W27	L3	W25	4 - 2
15. D. Ackerman	W31	L9	L18	W47	W 40	W19	4 - 2
16. R. Smith	D50	L28	W38	D11	W36	W37	4 - 2
17. E. Clarke	L36	W37	D35	D30	D27	W34	3½-2½
18. L. Pierson	W51	L1	W15	L10	W30	D12	3½-2½
19. M. Fabian	W48	W36	L7	W23	L4	L15	3 - 3
20. D. Lither	W 54	L49	L27	L43	W45	W40	3 - 3
21. D. Bernstein	L29	W52	W44	W31	L1	L11	3 - 3
22. H. Einberg	W52	L7	L36	D44	W35	D31	3 - 3
23. G. Fennell	W30	D8	W11	L19	D28	L6	3 - 3
24. D. Terry	W37	W14	L12	L7	L29	W41	3 - 3
25. J. Kent	L11	W47	D30	W50	D31	L14	3 - 3
26. D. Scott	L49	L30	W52	L13	W47	W42	3 - 3
27. J. Kolkey	L13	W51	W20	L14	D17	D32	3 - 3
28. B. Reynolds	D38	W16	W9	L8	D23	L13	3 - 3
29. M. Tupas	W21	L4	W40	L1	W24	L10	3 - 3
30. R. Walsh	L23	W26	D25	D17	L18	W43	3 - 3
31. B. Weiner	L15	W32	W39	L21	D25	D22	3 - 3
32. R. Engler	L5	L31	L50	W46	W44	D27	2½-3½
33. J. Blickenstaff	L8	W42	W13	L9	L37	D36	$2\frac{1}{2} - 3\frac{1}{2}$
ł							

	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score
4. J. Davidian	L9	L35	D37	W39	W48	L17	2½-3½
5. P. Grad	L1	W34	D17	L3	L22	W45	2½-3½
6. L. Nezhni	W17	L19	W22	L2	L16	D33	$2\frac{1}{2} - 3\frac{1}{2}$
7. A. Gojich	L24	L17	D34	W38	W33	L16	2½-3½
8. S. Cross	D28	L12	L16	L37	W46	D44	2 - 4
9. S. Alexander	L6	W48	L31	L34	L42	W47	2 - 4
0. L. Peterson	W47	L2	L29	W45	L15	L20	2 - 4
1. G. Elias	L14	W50	L3	W51	L11	L24	2 - 4
2. D. Grabhorn	L2	L33	L47	W48	W39	L26	2 - 4
3. S. Gussner	L4	L44	W48	W20	L13	L30	2 - 4
4. R. Melniker	L3	W 4 3	L21	D22	L32	D38	2 - 4
5. T. Hibbard	L12	W46	L14	L40	L20	L35	1 - 5
6. R. Margolin	L10	L45	L51	L32	L38	W48	1 - 5
7. D. Roberts	L40	L25	W42	L15	L26	L39	1 - 5
8. J. Roberts	L19	L39	L43	L42	L34	L46	0 - 6
9. D. Rogosin	W26	W20	L4	-	-		2 - 4
0. J. Rausch	D16	L41	W32	L25	-	-	12-42
1. K. Johnson	L18	L27	W46	L41	-	_	1 - 5
2. C. Vick	L22	L21	L26	-	-	_	0 - 6
3. C. Castle	L7	- '3	-	-	-	_	0 - 6
4. R. Rosenberg	L20	-	-	-	-	_	0 - 6

WILCOX WINS MECHANICS' INSTITUTE INVITATIONAL

Rex Wilcox won a Spring tournament held at the Mechanics' Institute by a margin of a half-point over Alan Bourke. Jim Schmitt, recently returned from southern California, was third. The cross-table:

MECHA	NICS'	INSTITUTE	INV:	ITAT:	IONAL	, M	ARCH	-MAY,	19	66			
L			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Score
1. R	. Wild	cox	X	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	7 - 2
2. A			0	X	1 2	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	6½-2½
3. J	. Schr	nitt	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	Х	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	1/2	1	1*	5½-3½
4. R	. Lave	er	0	1/2	0	X	1 2	1	0	1	1	1	5 - 4
	. Gros		1	0	1/2	1/2	X	1	0	1	1/2	0	4½-4½
6. S	. Sloa	an	1	0	1	0	0	X	1	0	1	0	4 - 5
	. Blob		0	0	0	1	1	0	X	1/2	0	1	3½-5½
		nally	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1 2	0	0	1	1/2	X	0	1	31/2-51/2
9. A	. Kana		0	0	0	0	· 2	0	1	1	X	1	31/2-51/2
10. M	. Will	cerson	0	0	0*	0	1	1	0	0	0	X	2 - 7

VON OETTINGEN CENTRAL CALIFORNIA LEAGUE CHAMPION

Serge Von Oettingen of Davis won the Central California Chess League Championship in March by a 4-0 score. First prize was \$25.

CENTRAL CALIFORNIA LEAGUE CHAMPIONSHIP, DAVIS, MARCH 1966

			1	2	3	4	Score
1.	S.	von Oettingen		W7	W6	W2	4 - 0
2.	М.	El Sayed	W14	W9	W3	L1	3 - 1
3.	G.	Manhart	W16	W4	L2	W11	3 - 1
4.	Р.	T. Hubbard	W17	L3	W 9	W8	3 - 1
5.	W.	Sprague	D12	W11	W15	D6	3 - 1
6.	L.	Balics	W18	W13	L1	D5	2½-1½
7.	F.	01vera	D11	L1	W12	W13	2½-1½
8.	D.	Olmsted	L1	W12	W16	L4	2 - 2
9.	J.	Jacino	W15	L2	L4	W16	2 - 2
10.	S.	Goldstein	L13	L15	W17	W14	2 - 2
11.	Α.	Di Milo	D7	L5	W18	L3	12-22
12.	J.	Arguijo	D5	L8	L7	W15	$1\frac{1}{2} - 2\frac{1}{2}$
13.	L.	Marjay	W10	L6	D14	L7	1½-2½
14.	J.	Sutherland	L2	W17	D13	L10	12-22
15.	Н.	Jorgensen	L9	W10	L5	L12	1 - 3
16.	J.	Bush	L3	W18	L8	L9	1 - 3
17.	Mrs	s. V. Smith	L4	L14	L10	D18	½-3½
18.	C.	J. Smith	L6_	L16	L11	D17	½-3½

VON OETTINGEN added the Sacramento City Championship to his collection in May. Dr. A. DiMilo won the Class A trophy, Dan Litowsky Class B and Charles Parcells Class \hbox{Go} .

SACRAMENTO CITY CHAMPIONSHIP, MAY 14-15, 1966

		1	2	3	4	Score
1. S.	Von Oettingen	W10	W3	W8	D2	3½-1½
2. A.	DiMilo	W14	W7	W12	D1	3½-1½
3. D.	Litowsky	W18	Ll	W17	W12	3 - 1
4. 0.	Bender	W11	L8	W10	D7	2½-1½
5. S.	Campbell	L12	W15	D11	W14	2월-1월
6. L.	Marjay	L7	W14	W15	D8	2½-1½
7. J.	Arguijo	W6	L2	W9	D4	2½-1½
8. D.	Olmsted	W16	W4	L1	D6	2½-1½
9. R.	Hansen	W13	L12	L7	W17	2 - 2
10. G.	Manhart	L1	W13	L4	W15	2 - 2
11. D.	Roby	L4	W16	D5	D13	2 - 2
12. C.	Parcells	W5	W9	L2	L3	2 - 2
13. J.	Baugher	L9	L10	W18	D11	12-22
14. W.	Curdy	L2	L6	W16	L5	1 - 3

SACRAMENTO CITY CHAMPIONSHIP, MAY 14-15, 1966 (continued)

	1	2	3	4	Score
15. T. Santos	W17	L5	L6	L10	1 - 3
16. A. Martinez	L8	L11	L14	W18	1 - 3
17. S. Markman	L15	W18	L3	L9	1 - 3
18. R. Murien	L3	L17	L13	L16	0 - 4

EL SAYED DAVIS CHAMPION FOR 1966

Mohammed El Sayed won the Spring tournament at Davis and received the title of Davis champion for 1966. Ross Barnett was second and there were four players tied for third.

EIGHTH DAVIS RATED TOURNAMENT, FEBRUARY - MAY 1966

EIGH	IN DAVIS KALED TOUR	MAPLEN	I, FE	DRUAK	I - PL	AI 19	00		
		1	2	3	4_	5	6	7	Score
1.1	M. E l Sayed	W14	W15	W5	W8	L2	W10	W9	6 - 1
2.1	R. Barnett	D10	W19	W12	W5	W1	D9	D4	$5\frac{1}{2} - 1\frac{1}{2}$
3. 4	A. DeMilo	W17	W7	L8	D18	D4	D6	W13	4½-2½
4. 1	E. Leitis	W9	L5	D15	W11	D3	W8	D2	4½-2½
5. 1	E. McCaskey	W21	W4	$_{\rm L1}$	L2	W14	D13	W12	4½-2½
6.	S. von Oettingen	L15	W14	D9	W12	D13	D3	W10	4½-2½
7.	H. Boro	BYE	L3	L13	L14	W21*	W20	W19*	4 - 3
8. 1	R. Hansen	W18	W13	W3	L1	L10	L4	W16	4 - 3
	R. Ingram	L4	W21	D6	W15	W18*	D2	L1	4 - 3
10.	T. Layfield	D2	W16	W11	D13	W8	L1	L6	4 - 3
	G. Manhart	D16	D12	L10	L4	BYE	W19	W17	4 - 3
	L. Balics	W20	D11	L2	L6	W19*	W15	L5	31/2-31/2
13. V	W. Sprague	W19	L8	W7	D10	D6	D5	L3	3½-3½
14. 1	H. Erwin	Ll	L6	W21	W7	L5	L17	BYE	3 - 4
15. I	D. Olmsted	W6	L1	D4	L9	D16	L12	W20	3 - 4
16. N	M. Rasmussen	D11	L10	L19	W17	D15	BYE	L8	3 - 4
17. I	D. Sterling	L3	LL8	BYE	L16	W20	W14	L11	3 - 4
18. V	V. Haines	L8	W17	W20	D3	L9*	_	-	2½-2½
19. A	A. Moxley	L13	L2	W16	W20*	L12*	L11	L7*	2 - 5
20. 3	J. Drummond	L12	BYE	L18	L19*	L17	L7	L15	1 - 6
21. I). Kenny	L5	L9	L14	BYE	L7*	-	-	1 - 4

CARL PILNICK HERMAN STEINER CHESS CLUB CHAMPION

Carl Pilnick won the annual club championship at the Steiner Club with a score of 7-1. Walt Cunningham and Lars Enequiest tied for second, $5\frac{1}{2}-2\frac{1}{2}$. Saul Yarmak was fourth, 5-3. We regret that a cross-table of the tournament is not available.

GAME OF THE MONTH By Alan Benson

The writer wishes he could have the whole of The Reporter for the notes to the following games between two young starts of the chess worl-Boris Spassky of Russia, recent challenger for the World's Championship will be seen in California in the Piatigorsky Cup tournament, July-August. Fridrik Olafsson of Iceland, a contestant in the first Piatigorsky Cup tournament, has taken up the practice of law.

Moscow, 1959	
Game No. 935 - Ruy	Lopez
White	Black
B. Spassky F.	Olafsson
1. P-K4	P-K4
2. Kt-KB3	Kt-QB3
3. B-Kt5	P-QR3
4. B-R4	Kt-B3
5. 0 - 0	B-K2
6. R-K1	P-QKt4
7. B-Kt3	P-Q3
It is obvious that	Olafsson would
not dare to play th	ne Marshall at-
tack against Spassk	ty!
8. P-B3	0-0
9. P-KR3	Kt-QR4

10. B-B2 P-B4 11. P-Q4 B-Kt2

This move dates back to Riga 1958.

12. P-Q5 I believe this is the only grandmaster game in which 12. P-Q5 was tried. The usual continuation is 12. QKt-Q2, BPxP; 13. PxP and B has two tries: 13...R-B1 and 13...Kt-B3. On 13...R-B1 there follows 14.P-Q5, Q-B2; 15. B-Q3 (it is interesting to note that in the preliminary final of the Russian Championship 1960 Spassky played 15. B-Kt1 against Mnatsakanian; there followed 15. B-Kt1, Kt-Q2;16. Kt-B1, P-B4 (Kt-B5! Tal-Petrosian 1959) 17.PxP, Kt-KB3; 18. Kt-Kt5, BxP; 19. Kt-K3, Q-B3; 20. KtxP!, KxKt; 21. KtxB, QxKt; 22. QxQ, KtxQ; 23. P-B6ch,

P-Kt3; 24. PxB, KtxP; 25. B-Kt5 and it took Spassky little time to prove the power of the two pieces with the little round balls on top 15...Kt-Q2; 16. Kt-B1, P-B4; 12. PxP!, BxP; 18. Kt-Kt5! and White got the advantage. Liberson-Suetin half final 1960. On 13...Kt-B3 a suggestion of the Russian master Borisenko, there follows 14. P-Q5, Kt-QKt5; 15. B-Kt1,P-QR4; 16.Kt-B1 Kt-R3; 17. Kt-Kt3, B-B1; 18. B-Q3, B-Q2; 19. B-K3, Q-Kt1; 20. R-B1 with approximately equal chances. Fischer-Unzicker, Leipzig '60. B-B1 12. ...

The bishop, of course, has no prospects on its present square and is regrouped immediately.

13. QKt-Q2 14. Kt-B1 Kt-Kt2 15. P-KKt4 P-KR4 If this game had been played in 1890 Black would undoubedly have played 15...Kt-Kl followed by P-Kt3, Kt-Kt2, Q-B2, Kt-Q1, P-B3 and Kt-B2 and said "come and get me." Olafsson is playing an active defese, which requires great care.

> 16. PxP $B \times P$ 17. KKt-R2

Avoids the pinning of the Kt and protects the rook's pawn.

17. ... Kt-R2

Preparing to secure the B squares. B-Kt4 18. Kt-K3

19. Q-B3 B-Q2 20. K-R1

In order to attack on the Kt-file. 20. ... B-B5

Spassky later said that 20...Q-B3 would have been correct.

21. Kt-B5



There are many interesting continuations now which lead to advantage for W: 1. 21... QBxKt; 22. BxB!, BxP??; 23. QxB, Kt-B3; 24. B-Kt5 and W wins a piece. 2. 21...KBxKt; 22. KxKt, BxKt; 23. QxB (PxB?, Q-R5ch; 24. K-Kt2, Kt-Kt4 and B stands better) Q-R5ch; 24. K-Kt2, Kt-B3; 25. R-R1, Q-Kt5 ch; 26. QxQ, KtxQ; 27. K-B3!, Kt-B3; 28. B-Kt5, Kt-R2; 29. B-K3, P-Kt3; 30. QR-KKt1, K-R1; 31. K-K2 followed by 32. P-B4 with advantage for White. 3. 21...QBxKt; 22. BxB, PxB; 23. PxB, Q-R5; 24. R-KKt1! (R-K4, Kt-Kt4!; 25. QxP, QxQ; 26. RxQ, R-K1!) Kt-Kt4; RxKt! (White has no choice; he must rely on this) 25. QxR; 26. R-KKt1, Q-R3 (if 26... Q-R5then 27. R-Kt4, Q-B3; 28. QxP, Q-K4; 29. QxQ, PxQ; 30. P-B6, P-Kt3 (the best defense) 31. PxP, PxP; 32. RxP, K-R1 (KB2-R2, R-Kt7 wins); 33. R-R6, K-Kt1; 34. Kt-Kt4 and black is lost: 34... Kt-Q3, B-R7, K-B2-R1; 36. B-Kt6, K-Ktl; 37. R-R7, Kt-B2; 38. R-Kt7, K-R1; 39. BxKt and black either gets mated or loses more material. The other lines from move 30 are just as bad for black this one was just an example the reader must work out the lines for himself as there just isn't enough space for them here); 27. Q-R3!, K-R1; 28. Q-R4, Q-B3; 29. R-Kt5, QR-K1; 30. Kt-Kt4, P-K8; 31. K-R2, Q-K2; 32. P-B6, PxP; 33. Ktx P (threat of R-Kt7!) R-K7; 34. QxP and black must lose as there is no defense against 35. R-Kt8. 21. ... BxB

22. QRxB BxKt
23. PxB Q-B3
24. R-KKt1 Kt-B4

If 24...Q-R3 then 25.Kt-Kt4, QxP
26.K-Kt2, P-B3; 27. R-KR1, Q-K1;
28. RxKt, KxR; 29. Q-Kt3, RxB2
(K-Kt1;30.Kt-R6, K-R1; 31.R-R1);
30. R+R1, Kt+Kt1; 31. Kt-R6, K-B1; 32. KtxR, KxKt (32.QxKt;33. R-R8); 33. Q-Kt6, K-K2; 34. QxKt
P, Q-B2; 35. R-R7, R-KB1; 36. Q-

were to try 24...Q-R3; 25. Kt-Kt4
Q-Kt4 then 26. Q-R3, Kt-B3; 27.
KtxKt, QxKt; 28. P-R6 and wins.
25. R-Kt2 Q-R3

Kt6 and wins, or 29...P-Kt4; 30.

PxP, K-Kt2; 31. Q-R4 or if black

26. QR-KKtl Kt-B3
With this move Olafsson hopes to
keep the QKtl, KR7 diagonal closed.
Spassky's next move destroys this
idea.

27. Kt-Kt4! KtxKt
This was forced: 27...QxP; 28.RR2, Q-Kt4; 29. Q-R3 and mates or
27...Q-Kt4; 28. KtxKt, QxKt; 29.
RxP, QxR; 30. RxQ, KxR; 31. P-B6
K-R3 (K-R1, Q-K3); 32. Q-K3, KxP;
33. P-B4 (threat of mate) PxP;
34. Q-R3, K-Kt4; 35. Q-B5, K-R5

(K-R3, B-Q1); 36. B-Q1, R-KKt1; 37. QxP, K-R6; 38. Q-R2 mate. 28. RxKt! K-R1 If instead 28...P-B3 then 29. R-Kt6, Q-R2; 30. P-R6, K-R1; 31. Q-R3, Px P; 32. RxRP, R-R2; 33. QR-Kt6, R1-B2; 34. K-Kt2! wins.

29. RxKtP P-K5

If 29...QxR see note to move 27. If black plays any other move than the text then 30. P-B6 is strong.

30. Q-Kt4

Of course not 30. BxP??, KtxB; 31. QxKt, QxP, 32. K÷Kt2, KxR

30. ... Kt-Q2

As to go after the rooks pawn.

31. R-Kt5! QR-K1

32. Q-B4!

This threatens 33. R-Kt8, K-R2; 34. R-Kt7, QxR; 35. RxQ, KxR; 36. P-B6, KtxP; 37. Q-Kt5.

32. ... Kt-B3



33. R1-Kt2!

And now we see the hopeless situation of black otherwise known as Zugswang. For a better description of zugswang, I refer the reader to the game Alekhine-Nimzowitsch, San Remo 1930. For instance if the K Rook leaves the rank then 34. R-Kt8 wins or if 33...K-R2; 34. R-Kt7, Qx R; 35. RxQ, KxR; 36. QxKt5 or 33...Q-R2; 34. R-Kt7, QxP; 35. R-R2 and wins.

33... KtxQP
34. R-Kt8ch K-R2
35. R2-Kt7ch QxR
36. RxQch KxR
37. P-B6ch! KtxP
38. Q-Kt5ch K-R2
39. QxKt R-K4
A blunder in time pressure 39...

A blunder in time pressure 39... P-Q4, would have held out one more move or so.

40. BxP.ch! and black resigns.

NORTH vs. SOUTH TEAM MATCH, 1966

Board No. 1 Game No. 936 - Vienna

White Black
D. Suttles I. Rivise
(notes by R. E. Burger)

Unsound variations, I have sometimes thought, have a fatal attraction for chessplayers. Like the Sophists of old, they delight more in winning from a bad position than in being objectively correct from the beginning. Duncan Suttles seems to be especially attracted by this. (see Game No. 999 - Suttles-Addison). In the following game he takes up a dubious line and almost makes it pay off. After all the changes of fortune, the ensuing draw is a fitting conclusion.

1. P-K4 P-K4
2. Kt-QB3 Kt-KB3
3. P-B4 P-Q4
4. PxQP KtxP
5. PxP KtxKt

At once Black could obtain the better game with 5...Q-R5ch; 6. P-Kt3, KtxKt; 7. PxQ, KtxQ; 8. KxKt, KtxB3; 9. B-Kt5, B-Q2; 10. Kt-B3, 0-0-0.

6.	KtPxKt	Q-R5ch	
7.	K-K2	Kt-B3	
8.	Kt-B3	B-KKt5	
9.	Q-K1		
Hoping	to get an	ending with the	
Bishop	pair. He wa	as rightly	
afraid	of 9. P-Q4	, 0-0-0; 10.	
Q-K1, I	RxP; 11. PxI	R, KtxPch; 12.	1
K-Q1,	Q-R4 etc.		1

9.... Q-R4 10. P-Q4 0-0-0 11. B-K3 P-B3 12. PxP PxP 13. K-B2?



This natural move should lose by force. K-Q2 might have been better.

10 1	idealar move	Should It
rce.	K-Q2 might	have been
13.		R-K1?
14.	B-K2	B-Q3
15	Q-Q2	R-K5
16.	. P-KR3	B-Q2
17.	P-KKt4	Q-K1
18.	. в-Q3	R-K2
19.	. QR-KKt	Q-B2
20.	Kt-R4	R(1)-K1
21.	B-R6	Kt-R4
22.	Kt-B5	BxKt
23.	BxBch	K-Ktl
24	R-K1	QxR P
25.	RxR	RxR
26	R-K1	Q-B2
27.	RxR	BxR
28.	. Q-Q3	B-B1
29.	BxB	QxB
30.	. BxP	Q-Q3

31. K-Kt2	KtB3
32. P-R4	P-R4
33. P-R5	Q-B5
34. Q-B3	Q-Q7ch
35. K-R3	P-R5
36. QxP	QxPch
37. B-Q3	Q-K8?
Now P-R6 had to	be played. If
P-R6, etc. Whit	e would Queen w:
	1 0 0

Now P-R6 had to be played. If 38. P-R6, etc. White would Queen with a check but with 2 Queens on the board there would be a quick drawor else.

38.	P-R6	Q-K6ch
39.	K-R4	KtxP
40.	P-R.7	Kt-B6ch
41.	K-R5?	0-K1ch

After the time control is passed White overlooks 41. QxKt, probably hoping for an easier win.
42. K-R6?

And here 42. B-Kt6 should do it. 42. ... Kt-K4



44.	K-Kt5	KtxQ
45.	QxKt	P-R6
	DRAW	

	Board No.	. 3
Game	No. 936 -	Caro-Kann
	White	Black
J.	Blackstone	R. Schultz
	1. P-K4	P-0B3

2. P-Q4

P-Q4

3.	P-K5	B-B4
4.	P-KKt4	B-Q2
5.	P-QB4	P-K3
6.	Kt-QB3	P-B3
7.	Kt-B3	$QP \times P$
8.	$B \times P$	P-QB4
9.	KPxP	KtxP
10.	P-Kt5	

10. 1	-KCJ		
I		4	
		i 🥦	I
		(4) 	
立			
	灣島		<u>##</u>

10.		$P \times P$
11.	PxKt	PxKt
12.	P-B7ch	Kx P
13.	Kt-K5ch	K-K1
14.	Q-R5ch	P-Kt3
15.	KtxP	Q-B2
16.	B-KKt5!	B-K2
17.	KtxRch	K-Q1
18.	BxBch	KxB
19.	Q-Kt5ch	K-K1
20.	Q-Kt8ch	K-K2
21.	Q-Kt7ch	K-K1
22.	Q-B7ch	K-Q1
23.	Q-B8ch	B-K1
24.	0-0-0ch	Kt-Q2
25.	Kt-B7ch	RESIGNS

Board No. 5 Game No. 938 - Caro-Kann

White	Black
H. Gross	H. Borochow
1. P-K4	P-QB3

2. QKt-B3 P-Q4 3. Kt-B3 PxP

4.	KtxP	Kt-Q2
5.	P-Q4	KKt-B3
6.	Kt-Kt3	P-K3
7.	B-Q3	B-Q3
8.	Q-K2	0-0
9.	B-KKt5	Q-B2
10.	Kt-K4	B-B5
11.	P-KR4	P-KR3
12.	BxKt	KtxB
13.	P-KKt3	B-Q3
14.	KtxKtch	PxKt
15.	0-0-0	P-K4




16.	P-KKt4	PxP
17.	Q-K4	P-B4
18.	$P \times P$	B-B5ch
19.	K-Kt	P-B3
20.	QR-Ktlch	K-R1
21.	R-Kt4	B-K4
22.	R-Kt6	Q-R2
23.	KtxB	

RESIGNS



TASKS: No. 249


Carpenter and Shinkman Detroit Free Press, 1877



Mate in Two

No. 250

Bo Lindgren Eskilstuna-Kuriren, 1942



Mate in Four

SOLUTIONS:

No. 227 (Gamage) 1. Sc5, Rf1; 2. Sd7 (threat), Pb2; 3. Sb8.

1...Sb2; 2. Bg6. 1...Sc5, Qc4. 1...Bc5; 2. Qd3.

No. 228 (Bincer) 1. Qb1, Bb2; 2. Qh7, Rb3; 3. Qc2.

No. 229 (Tura) 1. Qf2. Not 1. Sf2 nor 1. Rf2.

No. 230 (Barclay) 1. Re1, waiting. An "ambush" after 1... Be3; 2. Rd4ch.

No. 231 (Loshinsky and Shiff) 1. fSd2, ... 2. Qe3ch. The White Queen plays to f5 or g4 when the appropriate Black Knight opens this battery on the White King.

No. 232 (Grasemann) 1. Bd6. Black is allowed to check himself to death.

No. 233 (Larson-Letzen) l. Kg8. Crosschecks.

No. 234 (Knotek) 1. Sf4. Echo double-pin models.

No. 235 (Guttman) 1. Qa4.

No. 236 (Jacobs) 1. Sd2, ... 2. Sg8ch, K any; 3. Sf5ch.

1... Rxd2; 2. Ka8. 1... Bxd2; 2. Kb7.

No. 237 (Fink) 1. Se1.

No. 238 (Fink) 1. Ba6.

No. 239 (Eaton & Anderson) 1. Qe5 (5 second-move Nowotny interferences).

No. 241 (Wertheim) 1. Sd5.

N- 040 (Frebre) 1 D-0

No. 242 (Fasher) 1. Rc6.

No. 240 (Loyd)

No. 243 (Bull) 1. Rg1, waiting.

No. 244 (Rubesamen) 1. Bh1. Complete block.

1. Qf1.

No. 245 (Speckman) 1. Rxb7ch, Kc5; 2. Rb6, Kc4; 3. Se7, Kc5;

4. Sd5, Kc4; 5. Sc3, Kc5; 6. Sa4ch.

No. 246 (Shinkman) 1. Be2. Echo Queen sacrifices and two pairs of echo model mates.

FOURTH ANNUAL

CENTRAL CALIFORNIA OPEN AND QUALIFYING TOURNAMENT

- Date: Friday October 28, Saturday, October 29 and Sunday,
 - October 30, 1966.

\$12.00.

- Place: Lockheed Emplyees Recreational Association Auditorium, located at the north end of Mathilda Avenue (opposite
 - Sunnyvale) seven blocks north of Bayshore freeway. Take the North Mathilda off-ramp.
- Entry Fee: \$10.00 if USCF rating is 1900 and over, \$7.00 if USCF rating is 1899 or less (includes unrated). New members who have never had published USCF rating may obtain USCF and CSCF membership plus entry fee for bargain total of only
- Five Round Swiss: Round I 8:00 pm Friday, October 28, 1966
 Round II 11:00 am Saturday, October 29, 1966
 Round III 5:00 pm Saturday, October 29, 1966
 Round IV 10:00 am Sunday, October 30, 1966

Round V 4:00 pm Sunday, October 30, 1966 Time limit 50 moves for $2\frac{1}{2}$ hours.

- Prizes: First \$100, Second \$50.
 - These prizes are set for minimum fund of \$300. There will be prizes for Classes A,B,C and Unrated, plus Upset Awards. Prize fund will be increased according to the number of entries. Highest finishing California resident who has not qualified earlier for State Championship Tournament will do so for this event. Open to all who are or become members of
- Other: Entrants who are unable to reach Sunnyvale in time for the Round I (8:00 pm Friday, October 28, 1966) may arrange to Play the first round in advance.

USCF and California State Chess Federation (\$2.50).

Tournament Director: Koit V. Tullus, USCF Master

1151 Di Napoli Drive

San Jose, California 95129