### THE CALIFORNIA CHESS REPORTER

XII 4, 1962

# ADDISON WINS STATE CHAMPIONSHIP

FROM: 244 Kearny St. San Francisco 8

David Lawson 111 Tontaine St. Drodlyn 8, Ten Tork

## THE CALIFORNIA CHESS REPORTER

Vol. XII, No. 4	\$2 per	year	December, 1962							
THE CALIFORN	IA CHESS REPORTE	R, 244 Kearny Street,	San Francisco 8							
Mon	thly except January, Ma	rch, August, and Octo	ber							
Office	ial Organ of the Calitor	nia State Chess Federa	tion							
Editor: Guthrie McClain										
Associate Editors:	Gordon S. Barrett, Los	Angeles; Dr. Mark W.	Eudey, Berkeley;							
Neil '	T. Austin, Sacramento;	Irving Rivise, Los Ang	eles							
	Games Editor:	Robert E. Burger								
	Guest Annotator: Intl	. Master Imre Konig								
Seco	ond-class postage paid a	t San Francisco, Califo	rnia							
	CONT	ENTS								
State Championsh	nip	Central California L	eague 59							
-	Championship 55-56	Bay Area Industrial	•							
Northern Calif. (	Championship56	Editorial	•							
Sacramento Open		Game of the Month .	61							
Mechanics' Instit	ute58	Games	62-67							
	b58	Reporter Tasks								

### ADDISON WINS STATE CHAMPIONSHIP

William G. Addison is the champion of California for 1963 as the result of winning the finals held in San Francisco over the Thanksgiving week end. Addison was undefeated, scoring six wins and three draws. His performance capped a year of tournament successes in San Francisco and Los Angeles chess circles. He started out by winning the Hamilton Air Force Base Open in northern California, went to southern California to win some important tournaments at Santa Monica, Hollywood and elsewhere, and decided to stay in the south where the biggest tournaments were being held.

Addison was invited to participate in the U.S. Championship in New York — the first Californian in some time to be admitted — and early reports have him tied for first place after four rounds. His first game was a fine win over grandmaster Sammy Reshevsky, and in the second round he drew with grandmaster Pal Benko. The Addison-Reshevsky game appears on page 65.

### ADDISON WINS STATE CHAMPIONSHIP

William G. Addison of Los Angeles, formerly of San Francisco and Baton Rouge, climaxed a year of tournament successes by winning the California State Championship held in San Francisco over the Thanksgiving weekend. Addison was unbeaten, winning six games and drawing three. He drew with Irving Rivise and Walt Cunningham of the South and Peter Cleghorn of the North.

Irving Rivise, defending co-champion with Tibor Weinberger, finished in second place half a point behind Addison. Rivise lost to Weinberger and drew with Addison and Joe Mego. The strongest performance by a Northerner was Cleghorn's. He turned in a good 6-3 score to beat out Weinberger by a half a point. First prize was \$100 and custody of the permanent trophy; second prize was \$60, third \$40, and the total prize fund amounted to \$290.

The tournament was the finals of a series of eliminations. First to be seeded were last year's co-champions, Irving Rivise and Tibor Weinberger, followed by Walt Cunningham, California Open champion. Then there were qualified Leighton Allen in the Central California Chess League, Peter Cleghorn, Ralph Hurtlen and Roy Hoppe in Northern California, and Bill Addison, Saul Yarmak, Bob Cross, and Joe Mego. (Cross entered the finals but withdrew because of illness in his family.)

The finals were set up by Isaac Kashdan and directed by Arthur B. Stamer at the Mechanics' Institute in San Francisco. The pairings for the finals were set up by 'Kash' with three rounds to be played in Los Angeles and San Francisco before the two groups joined on Thanksgiving Day. This required balancing whites and blacks between North and South and minimizing the number of byes.

CALIFORNIA S	STATE	CHAMPIONSHIP	1962

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Score
1.	Addison W.	X	12	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	1	1	$7\frac{1}{2} - 1\frac{1}{2}$
2.	Rivise I.	$\frac{1}{2}$	X	1	0	1 2	ī	1	1	1	1	7 - 2
3.	Cleghorn, P.	1 2	0	X	1	1	1	0	1 ½	1	1	6 - 3
4.	Weinberger T.	0	1	0	X	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	1	$5\frac{1}{2} - 3\frac{1}{2}$
5.	Mego J.	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	X	1/2	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	5 - 4
6.	Cunningham W.	1/2	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	X	1	$\frac{\bar{1}}{2}$	1	1	$4\frac{1}{2}-4\frac{1}{2}$
7.	Hoppe R.	0	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	X	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	$4\frac{\overline{1}}{2}-4\frac{\overline{1}}{2}$
8.	Hurttlen R.	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	Ō	$\frac{1}{2}$	1/2	$\frac{1}{2}$	X	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$3\frac{1}{2} - 5\frac{1}{2}$
9.	Yarmak, S.	0	0	0	0	Ō	ō	ō	$\frac{1}{2}$	X	1	$1\frac{1}{2} - 7\frac{1}{2}$
10.	Allen L.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Х	0 - 9

### ADDISON AND YARMAK TIE FOR FIRST IN S. CALIF. CHAMPIONSHIP

Bill Addison and Saul Yarmak went undefeated in the 1962 Southern California Championship held at the Herman Steiner club September 28-November 11. The co-champions drew with each other. In addition, Addison drew with Joe Mego and Yarmak drew with Bob Cross.

Cross finished a good third, half a point behind, and Mego became the fourth qualifier to the State finals. Cross' only loss was to Addison and Mego's was to Yarmak.

The tournament was limited to the top 15 in last year's tournament, 13 from the Expert Candidates Tournament, and a third group advanced from a series of qualifying tournaments. In addition, tournament director Gordon Barrett was empowered to approve added starters.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA CHAMPIONSHIP 1962

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Score
1. Addison W.	W28	W5	W11	D2	W3	D4	W10	W9	7 - 1
2. Yarmak S.	W26	W13	W17	D1	<b>W</b> 8	W11	D3	W4	7 - 1
3. Cross R.	W15	W6	<b>W</b> 8	W22	L1	W9*	D2	W10	$6\frac{1}{2}$ - $1\frac{1}{2}$
4. Mego J.	W14	L8	W13	W7	<b>W</b> 5	D1	W11	L2	$5\frac{1}{2}$ - $2\frac{1}{2}$
5. Almgren S.	<b>W1</b> 8	L1	W6	W23	L4	L10	W14	W11	5 - 3
6. Parker S.	W24	L3	L5	W17	D14	W22	W7	$\mathbf{D}8$	5 - 3
7. Kahn M.	L8	W15*	W28	L4	W24*	W21*	L6	W16	5 - 3
8. Kupersmith	W7	W4	L3	W24*	L2	W12	L9	D6	$4\frac{1}{2}$ – $3\frac{1}{2}$
9. Stani K.	L21	D12	W25	W15	W23*	L3*	<b>W</b> 8	L1	$4\frac{1}{2}$ – $3\frac{1}{2}$
10. Laver R.	D20	L14	W12	W16	<b>W1</b> 9	<b>W</b> 5	L1	L3	$4\frac{1}{2}$ – $3\frac{1}{2}$
11. Gordon M.	W25	W20	L1	W14	W22	L2	L4	L5	4 - 4
12. Katzl R.	L22	D9	L10	W28	W16	L7	W15	D17	4 - 4
13. Bagley R.	W27	L2	L4	L21	W28*	L16	W25	W20	4 - 4
14. Simon K.	L4	W10	W21	L11	D6	<b>D1</b> 8	L5	D15	$3\frac{1}{2}$ - $4\frac{1}{2}$
15. Homolka V.	L3	L7*	W18	L9	W17	W19	L12	D14	$3\frac{1}{2}$ – $4\frac{1}{2}$
16. Hernandez B.	L23	D25	W20	L10	L12	W13	$\mathbf{W1}8$	L7	$3\frac{1}{2}$ – $4\frac{1}{2}$
17. Benge D.	W19	L23	L2	L6	L15	W25	W26	D12	$3\frac{1}{2}$ – $4\frac{1}{2}$
18. Piatigorsky	L5	L24	L15	W26	W27	D14	L16	W25	$3\frac{1}{2}$ – $4\frac{1}{2}$
19. Salter S.	L17	$\mathbf{W}28$	L24	W27	L10	L15	D20	D26	$3\frac{1}{2}$ – $4\frac{1}{2}$
20. Sleep F.	D10	L11	L16	W25	L21	W26	D19	L13	3 - 5
21. Barlai I.	<b>W</b> 9	L22	L14	W13	W20	L7*			3 - 5
22. Hufnagel F.	<b>W1</b> 2	W21	D23	L3	L11	L6			$2\frac{1}{2}$ - $5\frac{1}{2}$
23. Quillen P.	W16	W17	D22	L5_	L9*				$2\frac{1}{2}$ - $5\frac{1}{2}$

S.	Cal. Championsh	nip 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Score
24.	Hanken J.	L6	<b>W1</b> 8	W19	L8*	L7*				2 - 6
25.	Frilling Fd.	L11	D16	L9	L20	D26	L17	L13	L18	1 - 7
26.	Frilling Fk.	L2	L27	L28	L18	D25	L20	L17	D19	1 - 7
27.	Conwit D.	L13	W26	L7	L19	L18				1 - 7
28.	Reina M.	L1	L19	W26	L12	L13*				1 - 7

\* Forfeit

### CLEGHORN WINS NORTHERN CALIFORNIA CHAMPIONSHIP

Peter Cleghorn of Redwood City won the Northern California Championship with a fine 7-1 score, a full point ahead of Ralph Hurttlen of New Jersey. Roy Hoppe was the third qualifier into the State finals, half a point ahead of Vitaley Radaikin, Henry Gross, sam Sloan, Robert Edberg, and John Blackstone tied for fifth.

The tournament was held at Hamilton A.F.B. November 3-4 and Mechanics' Institute November 10-11, and was directed by Charles Savery.

NORTHERN CALIFORNIA CHAMPIONSHIP 1962

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Score
1.	Cleghorn P.	W11	<b>W1</b> 8	W4	D17	W5	W7	D3	W10	7 - 1
2.	Hurttlen R.	W15	L13	W12	W19	L4	<b>W</b> 5	W7	<b>W</b> 9	6 - 2
3.	Hoppe R.	$\mathbf{W}20$	L4	<b>W1</b> 8	D10	W6	<b>W</b> 9	D1	D7	$5\frac{1}{2} - 2\frac{1}{2}$
4.	Radaikin V.	W16	<b>W</b> 3	L1	D9	W2	D10	D5	D12	5 - 3
5.	Gross H.	W12	D10	W9	<b>W</b> 6	L1	L2	D4	D8	$4\frac{1}{2} - 3\frac{1}{2}$
6.	Sloan S.	W7	D8	W10	L5	L3	W14	W12	L11	$4\frac{1}{2} - 3\frac{1}{2}$
7.	Edberg R.	L6	W15	W11	W13	W17*	L1	L2	D3	$4\frac{1}{2} - 3\frac{1}{2}$
8.	Blackstone J.	L18	D6	D15	$\mathbf{W}20$	D12	D16	W11	D5	$4\frac{1}{2} - 3\frac{1}{2}$
9.	Thornally F.	W14	D17	$L_5$	D4	W19*	L3	W15	L2	4 - 4
10.	Eendit K.	W21	D5	L6	D3	W11	D4	D13	L1	4 - 4
11.	McIlrath J.	L1	W14	L7	<b>W1</b> 8	L10	W21*	L8	<b>W</b> 4	4 - 4
12.	Kane G.	L5	W21	L2	W16	D8	W15	L6	D4	4 - 4
13.	Pulash J.	$_{\mathrm{Bye}}$	$\mathbf{W}2$	L17*	L7	L15	<b>W</b> 20	D10	D18	4 - 4
14.	Vayssie P.	L9	L11	Bye	L15	W21	L6	<b>W1</b> 8	W20	4 - 4
15.	Eriggs T.	L2	L7	<b>D</b> 8	W14	W13	L12	L9	W16	$3\frac{1}{2}-4\frac{1}{2}$
16.	Delisle R.	L4	Bye	L19	L12	W18	$\mathbf{D8}$	<b>W</b> 20	L15	$3\frac{1}{2}-4\frac{1}{2}$
17.	Wilcox R.	W19	D9	W13*	D1	L7*				3 - 5
18.	Goodall M.	<b>W</b> 8	L1	L3	L11	L16	Bye	L14	D13	$2\frac{1}{2}$ $-5\frac{1}{2}$
19.	Shelton Dr. P.	L17	<b>W</b> 20	W16	L2	L9*			'	2 - 6
20.	C'Doan L.	L3	L19	W21	L8	Bye	L13	L16	L14	2 - 6
21.	McLennan M.	L10	L12	L20	Bye	L14	L11*			1 - 7

### VINERTS WINS SACRAMENTO OPEN

Young Henry Vinerts of San Leandro won the second annual Sacramento Open, held October 27-28,  $4\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ . Vinerts won a trophy and the first prize of \$75. Bill Haines, who drew with Vinerts, divided second and third prizes with M. E. Mattingly (\$37.50 each). Mattingly won the Class A trophy, Sam Sloan Class B, and 10-year-old Tommy Byrne Class C. Tournament director and promoter was William L. Rebold.

SACRA	ME:	NTO	OPEN	1962
	1	2	9	1

	SACRAMENTO OPEN 1962										
		_1_	2	3	4	5	Score	Solkoff			
1,	Harry Vinerts	$\mathbf{W}22$	W23	D2	W15	W10	$4\frac{1}{2}$				
2.	W. C. Haines	<b>W</b> 19	W25	D1	W6	D4	4	$7\frac{1}{2}$			
3.	M. E. Mattingly	D24	D18	W26	W23*	<b>W</b> 8	4	5			
4.	Erik Leitis	W14	W12	D8	D5	D2	$3\frac{1}{2}$	$9\frac{1}{2}$			
5.	S. Von Oettingen	W11	L8	W27	D4	W15	$3\frac{1}{2}$	$8\frac{1}{2}$			
6.	Frank Thornally	W16	W27	D15	L2	W12	$3\frac{1}{2}$	8			
7.	Jules Kalisch	W26	L15	D11	W24	W16	$3\frac{1}{2}$	$6\frac{1}{2}$			
8.	Anthony Di Milo	W28	<b>W</b> 5	D4	D10	L3	3	10			
9.	W. F. Sprague	W21	D10	D23	D11	D14	3	$8\frac{1}{2}$			
10.	John Blackstone	W17	<b>D</b> 9	W24	D8	L1	3	$8\frac{1}{2}$			
11.	Sam Sloan	L5	$\mathbf{W28}$	D7	D9	<b>W1</b> 8	3	$8\frac{1}{2}$			
12.	John Voth	<b>W1</b> 8	L4	W13	W25*	L6	3	$8\frac{1}{2}$			
13.	Marshall McLennan	L25	W17	L12	W22	W19	3	7			
14.	Henry Byrne	L4	W30	D25	W27	D9	3	$6\frac{1}{2}$			
15.	Dr. Benjamin Gross	<b>W</b> 29	W7	D6	L1	L5	$2\frac{1}{2}$	$10^{\frac{1}{2}}$			
16.	Paul Mongan	L6	W21	W20	D18	L7	$2\frac{1}{2}$	$6\frac{1}{2}$			
17	Edward Bizley	L10	L13	D21	W26	W24	$2\frac{1}{2}$	$6\frac{1}{2}$			
18.	Mabel Burlingame	L12	D3	W29	D16	L11	2	$8\frac{1}{2}$			
19.	Ward Sanders	L2	L24	<b>W</b> 28	W20	L13	2	$6\frac{1}{2}$			
20.	George Teslawski	L23	W22	L16	L21	<b>W</b> 28	2	6			
21.	Shelley McCarter	L9	L16	D17	D29	W27	2	6			
22.	Mark Holgerson	L1	L20	W30	L13	W29	2	6			
23.	Rex Wilcox	<b>W</b> 20	L1	D9	L3*		$1\frac{1}{2}$	10			
24.	Manuel Gonzales	D3	W19	L10	L7	L17	$1\frac{\overline{1}}{2}$	9			
25.	Adrian Ford	W13	L2	D14	L12*		$1\frac{1}{2}$	8			
26.	Laroy O'Doan	L7	D29	L3	L17	<b>W3</b> 0	$1\frac{\overline{1}}{2}$	7			
27.	Rene Delisle	<b>W</b> 30	L6	L5	L14	L21	1	8			
28.	Chas. Singleton	L8	L11	L19	W30	L20	1	7			
	Thomas Byrne	L15	D26	L18	D21	L22	1	6			
30.	Elmer Burlingame	L27	L14	L22	L28	L26	0				

\* Forfeit

### CLEGHORN WINS MECHANICS' INSTITUTE INVITATIONAL

Peter Cleghorn won the invitational held in November-December at the Mechanics' Institute by the good score of  $6\frac{1}{2}-2\frac{1}{2}$ , ahead of Henry Gross and Roy Hoppe, both 6-3. Cleghorn won six games, lost to Gross and Don Sutherland, and drew with Leighton Allen. First prize was \$100, second and third \$42.50 each, and fourth was \$20.

MECHAN	NCS' IN	STITUTE	LINVITA	TIONAL

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Score
1. P. Cleghorn	Х	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$6\frac{1}{2} - 2\frac{1}{2}$
2. H. Gross	1	X	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	1	6 - 3
3. R. Hoppe	0	0	X	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	1	6 - 3
4. D. Sutherland	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	X	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$5\frac{1}{2} - 3\frac{1}{2}$
5. J. Kalisch	0	1/2	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	X	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	5 - 4
6. H. Bullwinkel	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	X	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$4\frac{1}{2} - 4\frac{1}{2}$
7. Lopez	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	X	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	4 - 5
8. K. Bendit	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	X	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	3 - 6
9. A. W. Bourke	0	0	0	1/2	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	X	$\frac{1}{2}$	$2\frac{1}{2} - 6\frac{1}{2}$
10. L. Allen	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	X	[2 - 7]

### GROSS WINS CASTLE CLUB TITLE

Henry Gross repeated in 1962 as Castle Chess Club titleholder. Gross had a perfect 8-0 score which relegated all the other competitors to alsorans. Last year's winner, Bob Burger, and third prize winner Val Zemitis did not enter in 1962, while Dan Belmont and Guthrie McClain dropped out before completing half the schedule.

CASTLE CHESS CLUB 1962

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Score
1. H. Gross	X	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8 - 0
2. Dr. R. Hultgren	0	X	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	1	1	$4\frac{1}{2}$ – $3\frac{1}{2}$
3. E. Lien	0	0	X	1	0	1	1	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$4\frac{1}{2} - 3\frac{1}{2}$
4. S. H. Van Gelder	0	1	0	X	1	1	0	0	1	4 - 4
5. R. P. Willson	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	X	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	4 - 4
6. C. R. Wilson	0	1	0	0	1	X	0	1	1	4 - 4
7. G. Farly	0	0	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	X	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	3 - 5
8. P. Traum	0	0	0	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	X	1	$2\frac{1}{2}$ - $5\frac{1}{2}$
9. R. Freeman	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	1	0	X	$1\frac{1}{2}$ - $6\frac{1}{2}$

### CENTRAL CALIFORNIA CHESS LEAGUE

As the 1962-63 team match season gets under way, the opportunity presents itself to complete last year's record. (We published the first four rounds last February but there were no more reports for six months, after which time other events monopolized our pages.) We are indebted to M. A. Sanders of Manteca for the reports which made the following crosstable possible.

TEAM	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Matches	Points
1. Salinas	S X	4	4	5	4	5	$5\frac{1}{2}$	6 - 0	$27\frac{1}{2}$
2. San Jo	se 2	X	4	$5\frac{1}{2}$	5	$5\frac{1}{2}$	6	$4\frac{1}{2} - 1\frac{1}{2}$	28
3. Sacrar	nento 2	4	X	$3\frac{1}{2}$	2	4	6	$3\frac{1}{2} - 2\frac{1}{2}$	$21\frac{1}{2}$
4. Pittsbi	ırg 1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$2\frac{1}{2}$	Х	$4\frac{1}{2}$	$3\frac{1}{2}$	4	3 - 3	16
5. Oakdal	e 2	1	4	$1\frac{1}{2}$	Х	$2\frac{1}{2}$	5	2 - 4	16
6. Stockto	on 1	$\frac{1}{2}$	2	$2\frac{1}{2}$	$3\frac{1}{2}$	X	$4\frac{1}{2}$	2 - 4	14
7. Modes	to $\frac{1}{2}$	0	0_	3	1	$1\frac{1}{2}$	Х	0 - 6	6

### BAY AREA INDUSTRIAL LEAGUE

Another delayed report completes the record of the Industrial League team matches for 1961-62, which were completed last May. In this tournament of 12 teams originally, the PG&E Bishops scored 61 points to take the championship. The Bank of America equaled the Bishops' match points but had fewer game points. The San Quentin team was a close third, barely ahead of the UC Radiation Lab.

BAY AREA INDUSTRIAL LEAGUE 1961-62

		Game Points	Match Points	Total
1.	PG & E Bishops	45	16	61
2.	Bank of America	37	16	53
3.	San Quentin	$36\frac{1}{2}$	15	$51\frac{1}{2}$
4.	UC Radiation Lab	37	13	50
5.	Shell Development	35	11	46
6.	PG & E Knights	$33\frac{1}{2}$	12	$45\frac{1}{2}$
7.	Livermore	30	11	41
8.	Cal Research	$26\frac{1}{2}$	7	$33\frac{1}{2}$
9.	Kaiser	$25\frac{1}{2}$	6	$31\frac{1}{2}$
10.	Bay Toll	18	3	21
11.	Fibreboard	6	0	6
		330	110	440

### WHERE IS CALIFORNIA CHESS GOING? (EDITORIAL)

At the annual meeting of the California State Chess Federation there was a discussion of breaking up the federation into three or more regional federations. Since we reported the proposal several months ago in these pages, nothing concrete has materialized, but undercurrents of unrest have come to our attention. Evidently the dissatisfaction is still strong.

The most recent episode was at the State Championship in San Francisco. Criticism was leveled at northern California for not having the strongest opposition out to compete with the southerners. This was held by some of the southerners to call for holding all future state championships in Los Angeles.

In his column in the Los Angeles Times, CSCF President Isaac Kashdan tied this in with the earlier proposal to break up the federation. The fact that the Los Angeles area has more and bigger tournaments with larger cash prizes, wrote Kashdan, indicates that the State's chess community would be better off with separate federations.

We may be dense, but we fail to see how a state championship could be held exclusively in one part of California. It seems to us that it would become merely a championship of that part. We recall that many years ago Herman Steiner held championships of the California State Chess Association in Los Angeles -- with the result that scarcely anybody from the north participated.

We also fail to see how the California Open and the North-South team match would be improved by having separate federations or associations. We don't think it would help our relationship with the U.S. Chess Federation in fact, the national federation would be unable to grant us a state charter, according to current affiliation rules.

We are willing to explore new methods, however, and herewith present a few suggestions regarding statewide events. The first letter we received was from B. Rebold of Sacramento: 'We would like to hold the 1963 Calif. Open in Sacramento...I think the resources at the Sacramento Inn are far superior to Fresno's...We can have the Comstock Room, an analysis room, rooms for two officials, and \$50 worth of trophies." The second letter is from H. Abel of Santa Monica, the outstanding tournament director and promoter: 'I would like to run bigger and better tournaments (a la Milwaukee) and I think we have the potential if we could use our holiday week ends better. Instead of having the North-South match on that type of week end, have it just on an ordinary Saturday and Sunday. After all, it's only one game..."

### GAME OF THE MONTH

The following sharp struggle was a key game in the California State Championship -- until Bob Cross had to drop out of the tournament at the last minute. Rather than allow such an outstanding effort to blush unseen, we present it here. The combination from move 23 is well worth seeing.

Gam	e No. 723	Ruy Lopez
	ivise	R. Cross
	White	Black
1.	P-K4	P-K4
2.	Kt-KB3	Kt-QB3
3.	B-Kt5	P-QR3
4.	B-R4	P-Q3
5.	BxKt ch	PxB
6.	P-Q4	P-B3
7.	P-B4	Kt-K2
8.	PxP	BPxP
9.	B-Kt5	B-Q2
10.	Kt-B3	Q-Kt1
11.	P-QKt3	P-R3
12.	B-B1	Kt-Kt3
13.	Kt-KR4	KtxKt
14.	Q-R5ch	P-Kt3
15.	QxKt	B-K2
16.	Q Kt3	P-Kt4
17.	B-Q2	<b>Q~K</b> t2
18.	0-0	0-0-0
19.	Kt-R4	P-B4
20.	Kt-B3	QR-Kt1
21.	Kt-Q5	B-Q1
22.	P-Kt4	P-B3
	(See Diag	ram)
23.	PxP	PxKt
24.	QR-Kt1	Q-R2
	PB6	BxP
26.	BPxP	B-Kt4
27.	KR-Bch	K-Q2
28.	B-K3	Q-Kt2
		•



29. P-QR4 ...
Not only are Black's communications badly disrupted -- he must also return the piece.

29.	• • •	P-Kt5
30.	PxB	PxP
31.	R-R1	K-K1
32.	R-B6	Q-Kt1
33.	B-R7	Q-Kt2
34.	RxP	Q-KKt2
35.	R-K6ch	K-Q2
36.	Q-QB3	Resigns

Black has managed to extricate his Queen from danger -- but now the King is defenseless. The reader may recall some of F. K. Young's treatises on the destruction of communications between the "flanks".

### GAMES

### CASTLE CLUB CHAMPIONSHIP 1962

-----

Game No. 724 King's Indian
White Black
C. Wilson S. VanGelder

1. P-Q4 Kt-KB3 2. P-QB4 P-KKt3 3. Kt-QB3 B-Kt2 4. P-K4 P-Q3 5. B-K2 0 - 06. B-Kt5 QKt-Q2 7. P-KR4 P-K4 P-KR3 8. P-Q5 9. B-K3 Kt-B4 10. B-B3 P-B3 11. P-QKt4 PxP

12. BPxP Kt4-Q2 13. Q-Q2 Kt-Kt3 14. BxP Kt-B5 15. Q-B1 Q-Kt3 16. BxB KxB

17. P-R3 B-Kt5
18. BxB KtxB
19. Kt-R3 P-B3

20. Q-Q1 P-B4 21. 0-0 QKt-K6!

22. Kt-R4 Q-R3 23. PxKt KtxP

24. Q-Kt3 KtxR 25. RxKt PxP

26. P-Kt5 Q-R4

27. Kt-Kt5 RxR 28. KxR R-B1ch

29. K-K2 ... (See Diagram

Next Column)

29. ... 30. Kt~K6ch

31. KtxR

If QxP, QxPch, etc. or KxP, Q-K8ch, K-Q3, Q-Kt3ch, etc.

P-K6!

K-Kt1



31. ... Q-Q7ch 32. K-B3 Q-B7ch 33. K-Kt4 P-K7

34. Resigns.

Game No. 725	Evan's Gambit		
White	Black		
G. McClain	R. Willson		
1. P-K4	P-K4		

Kt-KB3 Kt-QB3
 B-B4 B-B4
 P-QKt4 B-Kt3

5. P-Q3 Kt-B3
6. B-KKt5 P-KR3

7. B-R4 P-Q3 8. P-KR3 KtxKtP

9. QKt-Q2 P-Q4
Black strangely decides to open up the game after carefully taking the gambit

pawn.

10. PxP

QKtxP

P-K6? Resigns

34. Q-B1

11.	0-0	B~K3	Gam	ie No. 726 ]	King's Indian
12.		P-Kt4		White	Black
13.	•	P-Kt5	E	. Lien	G. Farly
14.	PxP	KtxP			
15.	KtxP	R-KKt1	1.	P-Q4	P-KKt3
16.	QKt-B3	Kt5-K6	2.	P-QB4	B-Kt2
Cf, the san	ne move in C	. Wilson	3.	Kt-QB3	P-Q3
S. Van Ge	lder.		4.	P-K4	Q-KtB3
17.	PxKt	KtxP	5.	B-K3	P-K4
18.	B-R4		6.	P <b>-</b> Q5	Kt-Q5
			7.	B-K2	P-QB4
19	www.elle	<b>***</b>	8.	Q-Q2	P-KB4
			9.	P-KB4	Kt-KB3
			10.	BPxP	QPxP
40	<b>1 1 1 1</b>		11.	B-Kt5	P~KR3
			12.	BxKt	BxB
		22	13,	Kt-KB3	P-B5
	£ î		14.	0-0-0	B-Q2
	<u>r</u> î	<u></u>	15.	P-KR3	<b>Q-K</b> 2
壓		日紀	16.	QR-Kt1	P-QR3
			17.	K-Kt1	P-QKt4
18.	• • •	KtxKtPch	18.	PxP	PxP
19.		KtxQ	19.	KtxKt	BPxKt
20.		P-B3	20.	KtxP	0-0
		e Black King	21.	P-Q6	Q <b>-K</b> 3
	pen. But the	text is no	22.	P-QKt3	BxKt
bargain.			23.	BxB	QxP
21.		R-Kt4	24.	B-B4ch	K-R2
22.		Q <b>-K</b> 2	25.	B-Q5	R-R2
23.		B-K6	26.	R-QB1	B-K2
24.		RPxKt	27,	R-B6	Q-R6
25.		0-0-0	28.	KR-Q1	P-B6
26.		• • •	29.	PxP	RxP
		ok up! Black	30.	Q-Kt2	P-KKt4
r esigned	on move 40.		31.	P-KR4	P-KKt5
			32.	P-KR5	P-KKt6
	c 41		33.	R-B2	P-K6?
Another g	game from th	ie same	34	O-B1	Resigns

tournament.

### AN HISTORIC GAME

The last time San Francisco sent a player to the U.S. Championship was 16 years ago. A. J. Fink, respected equally as a problemist and player, was in his prime and gave a good account of himself. To conquer him and go on to earn the championship, Reshevsky won the first brilliancy prize in the game shown below.

Once again, a San Franciscan (albeit current resident of Los Angeles) is playing for the U.S. title. Bill Addison, however, fared better in his game with the same, perennial Reshevsky. A sharp middle game exchange left Addison with a grip on the center and endgame prospects on the Queenside. Rather than defend patiently, Reshevsky struck back against the White King -- only to overextend himself in the process. After the time scramble, Reshevsky conceeded defeat.

Gam	ne No. 727 Ru	y Lopez	12.	Kt-B3	B-Kt2
(U. S.	. Championshi	p 1946)	13.	R-K1	R-K1
	White	Black	14.	B-B4	Q-Kt3
A. J	J. Fink S. R	eshevsky	15.	QKt-Q2	P-Kt3
			16.	R-K2	B-KB1
1.	P-K4	P-K4	17.	Q-KB1	Kt-R4
2.	Kt-KB3	Kt-QB3	18.	B-R2	B-R3
3.	B-Kt5	P-QR3	19.	QR-K1	R-K2
4.	B-R4	Kt-B3	20.	K-R1	QR-K1
5.	0-0	P-QKt4	21.	P∹KKt4	
6.	B-Kt3	P-Q3	Wins the	exchange, but	loses a
Now a famil	liar gambit, w	hich White	valuable	pawn after Bla	ick's sur-
declines. A	After 7. Kt-Kt	5, P-Q4;	prise on	move 23.	
8. PxP, Kt	-Q5 there are	wild possi-	21.	• • •	Kt-KB5
bilities for	both sides.		22.	P-Kt5	KtxR
7.	P-B3	B-K2	23.	PxB	P-B4!
8.	P-Q4	0-0	24.	RxKt	PxP
9.	P-KR3	PxP	<b>25.</b>	Q-K1	P- <b>Q</b> 4
10.	KtxP	Kt-QR4	26.	Kt-KKt1	Q-KB3
11.	B-B2	P-B4	27.	B-KKt3	P-Kt4
As in the following game, Black			28.	K-R2	QxRP
concedes a	concedes a backward Queen pawn			P-B3	P-K6
for attackin	for attacking chances. He gets			Kt-Kt3	KtxKt
them.			31.	PxKt	P <b>-</b> Q5

32. P-Kt4



32. ... P-Kt5!!

A problem-like move befits the occasion. The long diagonal is Black's long suit.

33.	B-K4	BxB
34.	PxB	$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{P}$
35.	PxQP	PxQP
36.	Q-Q1	Q-K3
37.	PxP	$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{P}$
38.	R-Kt2	<b>R-K</b> 2
39.	Kt-K2	R(K2)-KKt
40.	Q-QKt1	P-KR4
41.	R-Kt1	P-R5
42.	B-B4	RxR
43.	KtxR	Q-Kt5
44.	Q-R2ch	K-R1
<b>45.</b>	Resigns.	

Game	No. 728	King's Indian						
(U. S.	(U.S. Championship, 1962-63)							
	White	Black						
<b>w.</b> .	Addison	S. Reshevsky						
1.	P-Q4	Kt-KB3						
2.	P-QB4	P-KKt3						
3.	P-KKt3	B-Kt2						
4.	B-Kt2	0-0						

5.	Kt-QB3	P-Q3
6.	Kt-B3	$\mathbf{QKt}$ - $\mathbf{Q2}$
7.	0-0	P-K4
8.	P-K4	P-B3
9.	P-KR3	PxP
10.	KtxP	R-K1
11.	B-K3	P-QR4
12.	Q-B2	Kt-B4
13.	QR-Q1	KKt-Q2
14.	Kt-Kt3	Q- <b>K</b> 2
<b>15</b> .	KtxKt	KtxKt
16.	Q <b>-</b> Q2	B-B1
17.	KR-K1	B-K3



18.	Kt-Q5!	PxKt
19.	KPxP	Kt-K5
20.	BxKt	BxRP
21.	B-Q4	Q-B2
22.	Q-B3	QR-B1
23.	B-Q3	P-R5
24.	RxR	$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{R}$
25.	R-K1	RxRch
26,	QxR	Q-Q2
27.	P-QKt4	PxP(e.p.)
28.	PxP	Q-Kt5
29.	Q-K3	Q-K8ch
30.	K-R2	B-Kt5
31.	B-K4	B-R3
32.	Q-B3	B-Q7

	33.	Q-Kt2	B-B8	But now	P-KB3 is nec	essarv.
	34.	Q-B3	B-Q7	17.		P-Q5
	35.	•	P-KR4		Q-B3	QR-K1
	36.	•	B-R3	19.		BxB
	37.	•	B-B1	20.		Q-B5
	38.	B-K3	B-B6	21.	B-Kt3	Q-Kt4
	39.	BxB	QxB	22.	K-R2	Q-KB4
	40.		P-R5	23.	QR-B1	K-R2?
	41.	B-R6	Resigns	BxKtP w	•	
			9		R-K2	Q-B4?
NORTI	HERM	CALIFOR	NIA	Or here		Q ===
		NG TOURNA		25.		Q-R6
-				26.	•	P-QB4
	Gan	ne No. 729	Four Knights	27.	Q-Kt3	QxQ
		White	Black	28.	BPxQ	
	н.	Gross	R. Hurttlen		se BxBP.	***
				28.	•••	BB7
	1.	P-K4	P⊶K4	29.		RxR
	2.	Kt-KB3	Kt-QB3	30.	P-B3	R-R3
	3.	Kt-B3	Kt-B3		R-K7	P-Q6
	4.		PxP			wn will decide
	5.	KtxP	B-Kt5		e. White cann	
	6.	KtxKt	KtPxKt		equately, and	•
	7.	B-Q3	P-Q4	•	ully to create	
	8.	PxP	PxP		-	a few checks
	9.		0-0	it is all	9	
	10.		BxKt	32.		P-Kt4
	11.		P-KR3	33.	B-Q2	K-Kt3
	12.	B-R4	Q-Q3		R-B7	RxP
****	13.		• • • •		R-B6ch	K-R2
White i		nove behind		36.	B-B3	BxP
	13,	• • • •	R-K1		R-B8	P-Q7
	14.	Q <b>−B</b> 3	Kt-K5		R-R8ch	K-Kt3
	15.	KR-K1	B-Kt2		R-Kt8ch	K-B4
	16.	Q- <b>K</b> 3	• • •	40.	P-Kt4ch	K-K3
			4 White must	41.	Resigns.	77 170
reckon		P-KKt4.		71.	neoigno.	
	16.	• • •	R-K3			
	17.	P-QB4	• • •			

CASTLE CLUB CHAMPIONSHIP 1962			31.	K-R1	KtxPch
			32.	K-R2	Kt-B8ch
Gam	e No. 730 Sici		33.	K-R1	Kt-Kt6ch
	White	Black	34.	K-R2	Kt-B8ch
Н.	Gross R.	Willson	35.	K-R1	QxKt
			36.	RxP	Q-Q5
1.	P-K4	P-QB4	37.	R-R7	Kt-Kt6ch
2.	KKt-B3	P-Q3	38.	K-R2	Q-B3
3.	P-Q4	PxP	39.	P⊶Kt5	Kt-B8ch
4.	KtxP	KKt-B3	40.	K-R1	Kt-Kt6ch
5.	QKt-B3	P-KKt3	White must	offer a Knight	to avert
6.	B-K3	B-Kt2	mate.	×	
7.	P-B3	0-0	41.	Qx <b>K</b> t	
8.	KB-B4	• • •	White must	take the Knigh	it to avoid
The KB, a	a problem, was	employed by	a perpetual	•	
Henry Gro	oss on B4 long	before Bobby	41.	• • •	QxKtP
Fischer n	ade the move	popular.	42.	R-Q7	Q-B8ch
8,	• • •	P-QR3	43.	K-R2	QB5
9.	Q-Q2	P-QKt4	44.	RxP	
10.	B-Kt3	B-Kt2	After all th	e fury, White	settles for
11.	0-0-0	R-K1	a won Rook	and pawn endi	ng.
12.	P-KKt4	Kt-B3	44.	• • •	QxQch
13.	P-KR4	QKt-R4	45.	KxQ	R-K6ch
14.	P-R5	KtxBch	46.	K-B4	RxP
15.	BPx <b>K</b> t	P-Kt5	47.	R-Q6	K-Kt2
16.	Kt-R4	KtxKP	48.	RxP	P-Kt4
17.	QxP	Q-Bch	49.	R-Q6	P-Kt5
18.	K-Kt1	Kt-Kt6	50.	R-Q3	R-B8
19.	KR-Kt1	BxKt	51.	P-Kt4	K-B3
20.	RxB	Kt-K7	52.	P-Kt5	K-K4
21.	PxP	RPxP	53.	R-Q5ch	K-K5
22.	R-QB4	Q-K3	54.	R-Kt5	R-B5
23.	QxB	P-Q4(!)	55.	P-Kt6	K-B6ch
24.	R-B7	QxB	56.	K-Kt5	P-Kt6
25.	R-Q1	QR-Q1	57.	P-Kt7	R-B1
26.	R-Q7	QR-B	58.	P-R4	P-Kt7
27.	P-R3	R-B8ch	59.	P-R5	K-B7
28.	RxR	QxRch	60.	P-R6	R-B6
29.	K-R2	QXNC11 Q-B7?	61.	K-Kt6	R-Kt6
30.	R×KP	Kt-B8ch	62.	RxR	Resigns

TASKS: The positions shown are further examples of the themes required in the world "championship" composing tournament organized by U. S. S. R. Each country of the 21 participants will select 2 problems in each category (there are 3 categories of 2 movers, 3 of 3 movers, etc.) If you are interested in submitting original compositions to the U. S. Committee, the editor of this page will gladly send you all particulars.



Mate in 2.



Mate in 3.

Solutions: Next Issue