United States.—The first California State Championship was held at the Mechanics' Institute C.C., San Francisco, December 27th-January 8th, with 11 competitors, our friend Stasch Mlotkowski deciding after all to enter. The championship was carried off, however, by E. W. Gruer, of Oakland, who made a clean score of 10. Mlotkowski was second with 7, and H. Borochow (Los Angeles) and E. J. Clarke (San Francisco) followed with 6 each. The Mechanics' Institute proposes to send Mr. Gruer as their representative to the American Chess Congress this year.

W. S. Gilman, of Sioux City, has retained the Iowa State Championship, of which he was the holder. On the latest occasion, at Spencer, Iowa, in December, he won with a score of 16 points in 18 games.

## THE EVANS GAMBIT.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE B.C.M.

DEAR SIR, -Since sending you my note with regard to the Evans Gambit. on page 343, I have received your November number, and see that this variation has already been analysed by Mr. Cunnington, who, I find, thought that White has fair attacking prospects and that the game is speculative. After, perhaps, a somewhat more detailed examination of the position than Mr. Cunnington was able to give, I submit the column below, showing that it is Black who has the attack and that a win for him is demonstrable.

1 P-K 4	8 P×P	15 Kt—Q 5 (2) 22 Q—B 1
P-K 4	$P \times P$	Q Kt—Kt 5 (3) Q—Kt 6
2 Kt—K B 3	9 B×P ch	16 Kt×Kt ch (4) 23 Kt-Q 2 (8
Kt—QB3	$K \times B$	$Q \times Kt$ $B = R 6$
3 B—B 4	10 Kt×P ch	17 Q—R 5 ch (5) 24 K—R 1
B—B 4	K—K 1	R—Kt 3 B×R
4 P-Q Kt 4	11 Q—R 5 ch	18 Q—Kt 5 ch (6) 25 P×B
$B \times P$	P—Kt 3	P-B <sub>3</sub> R-R <sub>3+++</sub>
5 P-B 3	12 Kt×P	19 Q—K 2
B-R 4	Kt—B 3	Õ—R 5
6 Castles	13 Q—R 6	20 P—K R 3 (7)
P-Q 3	Ř—K Kt I	Kt×P
7 P-Q 4	14 Kt—B 4	21 R×Kt
B—Kt 3	Kt—K 4 (1)	$Q \times R P$

(1) Instituting a double threat; the obvious Kt-B 2; Q-R 4, R-Kt 5; Q-R 3, R × Kt, and the prettier as well as stronger B × P ch; R × B, Q-Q 8 ch;

R-B 1, Kt-B 6 ch; K-B 2, Kt-Kt 5 ch.

(2) If 15 P—K R 3, B×P ch; 16 R×B, Q—Q 8 ch; 17 R—B 1, Kt—B 6 ch; 18 K-B 2, Kt×P ch; 19 K×K 3, Q×R. If 15 Kt-K 2, R-Kt 3; 16 Q-B 4, Kt-Q6; 17 Q-Q2, KKt×P. If 15 Kt-R3, Kt-B2; 16 Q-B4, B×Kt. 15 Kt-R 5, Q Kt-Kt 5; 16 Kt x Kt ch leads back into the main variation.

(3) Stronger than Kt×Kt or Kt×P, either of which is answered by

Q-R 5 ch.

(4) If 16 Q—R 4, Kt×Kt; 17 Q×Q ch, K×Q; 18 P×Kt, R—B 1. Or

17 Q-R 5 ch, R-Kt 3; 18 P×Kt, Q-B 3.

(5) If 17 Q×Q, Kt×Q; 18 P—K Kt 3 (best, for if K—R 1, Kt—Kt 5 is the reply), Kt×P. This is the least unfavourable variation for White, but leaves him with an endgame in which he has the inferior development and but one Pawn for his piece. If 17 Q—B 4, R—B 1. If 17 Q—Q 2, Black has several ways of winning e.g., R—B 1. Or 17.., Kt×BP; 18 R×Kt, R—B 1 or 17.., Q—R 5; 18 P—KR 3 (18 Q—B 4, R—B sq.), Kt×P; 19 R×Kt, R—B 1 or 17.., B—K 3, holding the other threats and menacing R—Q 1; Q-K<sub>2</sub>, B-B<sub>5</sub>; Q×B, Q×P ch.

(6)  $Q \times P$  is answered by  $Kt \times BP$ ; 19 Kt-Q 2,  $Kt \times P$  dis ch; 20 K-R 1, Kt-Kt 6 ch.

(7) If 20 B—B 4, Kt×B P; 21 B—Kt 3 (21 B—K 3, Kt—Kt 5, or 21 R×Kt, Q×B), Kt—Kt 5 dis ch; 22 K—R 1, Q×B.

(8) R—B 3 is threatened. If 23 P—K 5, B—R 6; 24 K—R 1, B×R; 25 P×B, Q-B 6 ch; 26 K-R 2, B-Kt 6 ch.

Yours, etc.,

STASCH MLOTKOWSKI.

Los ANGELES.