## OFFICIAL ORGAN OF THE CENTRAL CALIFORNIA CHESS LEAGUE

Volume 5. Issue 7 5318 Callister ave

Nov. 3, 1954

### Sacramento 19, Calif. CCCL QUALIFYING TOURNAMENT (for State Championship)

No.	Name	Cl.ub	1	2	3	4	Score	Solkoff
1	M O Meyer	Sacto	577	W54	174	775	4 - 0	9.0
2	R E Burger	Pitts	Ll	77	173	774	3 - 1	10.5
3	R Leigh	Stlan	D7	<b>W8</b>	L2	W5.A	2월- 1월	8.5
4	R G Guzman	Pitts	779 4	175	Ll	LS	2 - 2	10.5
5	H E Paul	Mod.	779	L4	779 à	Ll.	2 - 2	9.0
	E L Jeffers	19	W11	L1	W8	L3	2 - 2	9.0
7	T Fries	Fresno	D3	L2	779	D8	2 - 2	8.5
8	R McCullough	11	712	L3	L5A	D7	13- 23	7.5
9	C J Smith	Oaldale	L5	D11	L7	1712	1 23	6.0
	W Shirey	Fresno	L4	MIZ	L5	D11	13- 23	6.0
11	F Olvera	Pitts	L5A	D9	L 12	D9 4	1 - 3	6.0
12	M E Mattingly		L8	L94	7711	L9	1 - 3	5.5

# The 1953 Central California Qualifying Tournament

By M. O. Meyer

The third annual tournament sponsored by the Central California Chess League for the purpose of selecting one player for the California State Championship was held in Modesto October 23-24. The four round Swiss event was ably directed by H. E. Paul (who played when it was discovered there would otherwise be 11 participants), L. H. Kerfoot of Modesto and N. T. Austin of Sacramento. Unfortunately, a number of players who have made impressive records in League match competition were unable to enter for business or personal reasons.

R. E. Eurger of Oakland, wither of the 1952 and 1953 events, was the pre-tournament favorite. His fine record at first board for Pittsburg, his 4-0 wins in the 1953 and 1954 Individual Championship Tournaments, and his outstanding achievements in San Francisco and east bay competition justified the expectation that he would again occupy top spot. It was thought that the real struggle would be for second place, which the writer had occupied in the previous two tournaments.

By virtue of the initial draw, which was "blind" except to avoid the matching of players representing the same club, the eventual winner and runner-up met in the first round. After sharing the point in four earlier meetings in team match and tournament play, this game proved to be the first in which either players scored a full point against his opponent. The result was correctly regarded as an upset, and we are certain that our cause was substantially aided by the fact that our opponent, who is engaged in graduate work at the University of California, felt that he should have spent the week-end studying for examinations rather than playing chess.

Five players scored wins in the first round, the lone draw being played between R. Leigh of Stockton and young Tom Fries of Fresno, who had made a fine showing in the 1954 United States Junior Championship Tournament. At the end of the second round only R. C. Guzman of Pittsburg and the writer had scores of 2-0, and at the end of the thir d round the latter had 3-0 and four players were tied for second with 2-1. We had already played three of the four, so that the top draw for the final round was automatic.

We have heard it said that one must be lucky to win a tournament and that was proved in each of my four games. We have also heard that the best players have the most luck, but the results of the recent tournament proved an exception to that rule, provided the observation may be regarded as such.

Mr. Mike Hailparn, President Central Calif. Chess League 727 Ferger Ave Fresno, California

Dear Mr. Hailparn:

At a recent meeting of the Fresno Chess Club our members were confronted with a bill to the amount of \$7.50, from our good member, Tom Fries. We were informed the amount was due because of the participation of three of our members in a tournament held in Modesto Oct. 23-24, the winner to qualify for the State Championship Tournament.

It was the unanimous opinion of the attending membership that the amount

assessed was unjustified for several reasons:

(1) The Fresno Chess Club was not notified through our secretary or any other source of such a fee.

(2) No fee should be paid by any chess club for voluntary participation of its members in any tournament unless authorized to do so. Tournament chairmen should request such an authorization.

(3) All participants should be advised as to the total expense of entry and such fee should be collected "En Toto" at the time of registry— not after the

tournament has terminated, and additional funds are requested.

The above are only a few reasons for what we consider an unjust and inequitable fee. We are therefore registering this protest as to the handling of the entrance fee, and the lack of specific instructions through proper channels. We urger a change in any rule (if one exists) that makes the remittance of \$2.50 per person an obligation of any chess club.

Yours very truly, Herbert Kallmann, Pres. Fresno Chess Club

cc: Olvera, Gee, Crofut, Adams, Austin

Nov. 1, 1954

Mr. Mike Hailparn President Central Calif. Chess League

Dear Mike:

I have a copy of the letter written to you by Dr. Kallman, President of the Fresno Chess Club, which registers the protest of the Fresno Club relative to the handling of the entrance fees in the recent tournament at hodesto. As you were not present at the tournament, I am writing this letter in order to acquaint you with the facts regarding this matter.

In the first place, I will take full responsibility for making the assessment. Upon my arrival near the end of the event, I discovered that the Committee had made no provision for the collection of the prize money, and set about to rectify the situation. Unfortunately, this assessment had not been announced by the committee, and I had no official status.

Dr. Kallman's letter gives several reasons why the Fresno Chess Club unanimously considers the fee to be unjust and inequitable. I must agree that the reasons are all sound. (1) The clubs should have been notified; (2) Tournament chairmen should request such an authorization; (30 Participants should have been advised of all expenses.

I do not agree that the fee was either unjust or inequitable. Both previous tournaments were conducted on the basis of the clubs supplying the prize money at the rate of \$2.50 per entry. This is a precendent that was set up as the only way to get a prize fund. The individual entry fee, after paying the rent, goes into the State Championship Prize Fund. We paid \$35 into that fund, less per man than the Bay area or Southern California pay in. Whether this fee should be paid by the club or by the individual, is a matter for the club to decide.

All hail the new Champeen, as George Flynn would say! Milt Meyer has unseated a very sedentary figure at the twice-yearly Modesto fete. And in no uncertain fashion, either. Milt started with his chief rival and mowed 'em down in order. In fact, this tournament was so convincing for the winner that it makes the former victor think a little bit about how unconvincing the previous tourneys were in contrast, and in particular how unconvincing they might be in the future.

with the possible exception of Tom Fries, there were no serious challengers who did not get a clear right to challenge Milt in his bid for the title. Fries had the misfortune of being paired with another favorite, yours truly, in the second round even after he drew his first round game with Leigh and after Burger had lost to the eventual winner. This pairing brought about the curious circumstance that, if you consider Fries, Meyer, and Burger the chief contenders, Fries would have to beat the other two to min the tourney, while Meyer would only have to beat one, as it actually happened. This very minor deficiency does not detract at all from Meyer's performance, since it might be argued that Fries put himself in an awkward situation by drawing in the first round. But this fact does show that a mechanically run Swiss can lead to a very unsatisfactory tournament if there are only four rounds.

unsatisfactory tournament if there are only four rounds.

In this tournament, the only unsatisfactory outcome to my mind was the anticlimax. Herb Paul had graciously agreed to play when an odd number of entrants arrived, and he even more graciously paired himself with non-contenders in the first three rounds so that, when possible within the strict limits of the rules, he would not figure in the outcome. Unfortunately, when the fourth round came, he was the only one left who could play Meyer according to the rules, since the other contenders had knocked eachother out. If the tournament director had any discretionary power at all, he could have reached down for Fries to meet the winner in the last round. Instead, we had the spectacle of the winner matched with the tournament director, who hadn't intended to play in the first place, who hadn't met what I consider the top contenders, and who nevertheless was bound by the rules to step forward.

In the four previous Modesto tournaments, only once was there a really climactic game in the final round (Fries-Burger, 1954 League Championship.) The winner of those tournaments has never played Mike Hailparn at Modesto, despite the fact that like was a leading contender in several tournaments there. He has similarly avoided Thil Smith, Bill Adams, Ojars Celle, and others in crucial situations because of the mathematical pairings. Then this element of chance is added to the vagaries of individual games, the result is largely fortuitous. But even more important, the winner rarely meets a representative selection of the stronger players, thus missing the preparation that Bay trea or Los ingeles players receive in their respective tournaments.

In my opinion, the mathematical pairing in our odesto tournaments should have been abandoned long ago. Let us agree: the only purpose of a Swiss tourney is to allow every player a chance to win a short tournament. This purpose is NOT defeated by doing a little enlightened pairing.

To filt we wish the best of luck in the finals. Only lack of recent practive will possibly keep him from the top, if anything does. In my opinion, he will fare far better than his predecessor, which is not saying much: more than this, I believe he has the style to put new respect for Central Valley in our smog-bound friends.

In conclusion, I base the justice and equity of my action on the precedent of the two previous qualifying tournaments, and regret only that the Committee neglected to publicize the expected source of the prize fund.

Yours very truly,

N. T. tustin

cc: H. Kallman - Sacto Chess News.

Your Editor is Chairman of the Tournament Committee, but was unable to attend the last tournament as he was out of town on business. However, the three objections given in Mallmann's letter, (to the method of collecting entry fees for prize fund) and agreed to by Austin's answer, are certainly not in line with my own thinking. First, there is a misconception, particularly among Fresno players, that the Qualifying Tournament is an "open" tournament and, hence - anyone in the CCCL can enter. This is not true. All three of such tournaments have been limited to not more than LT entrants, and each club is to pick, or authorize, the ones who enter from individual clubs. Entry fee is \$5. After payment of tournament expenses (mainly hall rent) balance is sent to the State Championship Tournament Fund. Any club that authorizes a player to participate is supposed to pay \$2.50 prize money for that player entering. This has been done for two years previous, and this year was no exception. Your Editor wishes to point out that Mr. Kallman has been in the League for many years, both Hailparn and Fries have been active members, Hailparn is now president, and it seems strange that the Fresno Club was not aware of these provisions. As to changing the rule that makes \$2.50 assessed to a club that puts a players in the CCCL Qualifying Tournament, that has to be taken up by the Executive Council of the League.

### FRESNO CHESS CLUB 1954

Team Training Tournament

Michael Hailparn has won the right to play board one for the Fresno Chess Club in this year's Central California Chess League Matches by virtue of his fine 7-1 score in the 1954 Team Training Tournament. Mike, a graduate student at Fresno State Collège, scored his second consecutive tournament victory in Fresno, having won the Championship Tournament concluded in April.

The tournament was one of the most exciting affairs ever held locally, with the lead changing hands several times. Going into the final round Tom Fries of Fresno and Elmer Achterberg of Visalia still had outside chances for first prize. Hailparn's sole defeat was at the hands of Fries, who in turn was knocked out of first place by a loss to Achterberg.

The affair was an eight-round Swiss System with twenty-one participants. The tournament was enliven ed by the play of three promising youngsters - William Shirey. Roy McCullough and Robert Garabedian.

	The tour	ament	was di	rected	by To	m Frie	s. Fi	nal	Standing	s:	Solkoff
1 M F	Hailparn	7714	1719	713	LS	WE	W3	W9	776	7 - 1	
2 T I	Fries	W18	79	D4	WI	L3	713	78	D7	6 - 2	
3 E	Achterberg	All	L13	179	W16	WZ	Ll	76	D4	5 2 2	
4 01	laschke	717	W16	DZ	W7	Ll	L6	W13	D3	5 - 3	34.5
5 R (	Clark	L6	D15	L10	DIS	<b>720</b>	7714	717	W9	5 - 3	26.5
6 C J	Fotias	775	78	L7	D13	W16	W4	<b>V</b> 3	Ll	4월 - 3월 4월 - 3월 4월 - 3월	37.5
7 R I	Belcer	D8	721	₩6	L 4	L9	D10	WLI	DS	42 -32	32
8 W S	Shirey	D7	L6	D15	W14	D10	W16	L2	771.3	43- 33	31.5
9 L S	Schafer	MIO	L 2	L3	W19	W7	711	Ll	L5	4 - 4	37
10 R	Garabedian	L9	W17	<b>W</b> 5	Lll	DB	D7	DIS	D14	4 - 4	32
11 J J	Hastings	L3	7718	7714	MIO	L13	L9	17	MSO	4 - 4	28
12 L I	Legler	L19	L14	450	D5	L15	W17	DIO	M18	4 - 4	22
13 H I	Kallmann	MSI	W3	Ll	D6	Wll	L2	14	L8	32-42	39.5
14 R M	icCullough	Ll	7712	L 11	L8	7718	L5	W20	D10	32- 4	31.5
15 E	Thompson	<b>L13</b>	D5	D8	MI8	7712	Withd	rew		3 - 2	
16 R	Durant	721	L4	7719	L3	L6	T8	L <sub>28</sub>	L17	2월- 5월 2월- 5월	25
	Holladay	L4	L10	<b>L18</b>	720	<b>W19</b>	Ll2	<b>L</b> 5	D16	22- 51	24.5
18 4 5	Sotelo	L2	Lll	1/17	L15	L14	70.6	L20	113	2 - 6	
1	Houle	W13	1.1	L: 6	L9	L17	Withd	lrew		1 - 4	
	R Echdahl	r13	11.7	I5	M18	L14	Lll			1 -5	
51 A	Depoyan	L16	17	.it':d	rew					0 - 2	

Chess activity in Fresno has been stimulated over the summer months by the creation of a new ladder point system. At the present time the top notch on the ladder is held by Elmer Achterberg of Visalia.

What's happened to the CCCL? (Ed Note - Did something happen) At the Open in Santa Barbara, Fresno registered eight (8) entrants, as against a grand total of three from therest of the League. What's wrong? Let's get on the ball!

Fresho recently sent a 12-man team to Bakersfield for a match. The Kern City Club won to the tune of  $7\frac{1}{2}-4\frac{1}{2}$ . Winners for Fresho were Bob Garabedian, Lloyd Kennedy, Bob hitchell, and Roger Allbritton. Otto haschke drew on top board. A rematch is slated for October 17.

The Recent sign-up for Fresno's team tournament reached an all-time high of twenty-one despite the absence of such regulars as Lloyd Kennedy, Phil Smith. Dr. & Mrs. Rubin, Bob Womack, and Ernie Cook!

CCCL QUALY	TYING						
Burger vs							
French Dei		rnd.					
1 P K4	P K3	31 RxB	(ZR	21 N N3	B B3	51 KxRP	NxP
2 P QB4	P Q4	32 Q B3	QxQ	22 N K4	R KN	52 K N6	P R5
3 BPxP	PxP	33 PxQ	К ВЗ	23 N N5	BxN	53 P R4	P R6
4 P K5	P QB4	34 R K8	K Q4	24 BxB	RxB	P R5	P R7
	N CB3	36 P N3	N K4	25 QXR	QxQ	55 P R6	
	B B4	36 B N3	P Q6Bis ch		RK	56 P R7	The second secon
7 B K2	Q N3	37 Resigns	T Abarb ou	27 R KB5	REP		Q QX
	B k2			28 R B7	P K5	Resigns	
9 N B3	000	Round 1 CCCL	Mourney	29 P Q4	R N3		
10 N QR4	Q B2	Mattingly-Mc		30 RxBP	R N2		
11 B K3	P Q5	1 P Q4	N KB3	31 R B8ch	RN		
12 B B2	P QN4	S N KB3	P KN3	32 R B7	R QN	WE NEED MORE	CALCE
13 NxP	BxN	3 ON OS	B N2	33 R Q7	P 24	SCORES AND N	
14 0:0	KN KS	4 P K4	P Q3	34 R: 2 P	N B6	PLEASE MAIR	
	B QN3	5 B Q3	00	35 R 285	P QR3	LINESON BAIL	Detruit IN 1
16 KR B	R Q4	6 QR N	an az	36 R X3	N N4		
17 N N5	P B3	7 P 313	P .4	37 R K3	RK	Capital City	Chase
18 N B7	KR Q	8 P 95	NK	38 P B3	NOXP	Club Champion	
19 NxR	QXN	9 P KN4	H QD4	39 RxP	RER	Tournament i	
20 B B3	K NS	10 P KR3	NxB	40 PER	N B7ch	taking entri	
21 BxR	QxB	11 PxN	F KB4	41 K Q2	NXNP	Gee or dustin	
22 Q R3	PxP	12 NPxP	NPxP	42 P R3	N B3	entry. Let	
23 pxP	P N5	13 N B	N B3	43 K B3	K NS	an "all-out"	
24 Q R4	BxP	14 N N5	QK	44 K B4	K B3	of chessplay	
25 R K	B N4	15 KPxP	NXQP	K Q5	K N4	this year!	ers
26 Q Q	NxP	16 P QN4	KR	46 P K5	P KR4	ours Aegr.	
27 Q R5	B B3	17 N K6	BxN	47 P K6	K R5	METBERS OF T	EAM :-
28 Q R3	N/2-N3	18 PxB	N B6	48 K 96	KxP	We play Mode	
29 QR B	N KB5	19 Q KN4	NXR	49 K B7	K n6	Sunday at hor	
30 Q N3	N/ 4-N3	20 R N	6 KS	50 KxNP	N Qch	place is Tur	
THE RESIDENCE	200			The state of the s		Page 20 101	

Hall.